

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Non-Abelian vortices at weak and strong coupling in mass deformed ABJM theory

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. JHEP10(2009)071

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1126-6708/2009/10/071)

The Table of Contents and more related content is available

Download details: IP Address: 80.92.225.132 The article was downloaded on 01/04/2010 at 13:37

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

PUBLISHED BY IOP PUBLISHING FOR SISSA

RECEIVED: July 1, 2009 REVISED: September 21, 2009 ACCEPTED: September 26, 2009 PUBLISHED: October 26, 2009

Non-Abelian vortices at weak and strong coupling in mass deformed ABJM theory

Roberto Auzzi and S. Prem Kumar

Department of Physics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, U.K.

E-mail: r.auzzi@swansea.ac.uk, s.p.kumar@swansea.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: We find half-BPS vortex solitons, at both weak and strong coupling, in the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetric mass deformation of ABJM theory with $U(N) \times U(N)$ gauge symmetry and Chern-Simons level k. The strong coupling gravity dual is obtained by performing a \mathbb{Z}_k quotient of the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetric eleven dimensional supergravity background of Lin, Lunin and Maldacena corresponding to the mass deformed M2-brane theory. At weak coupling, the BPS vortices preserving six supersymmetries are found in the Higgs vacuum of the theory where the gauge symmetry is broken to $U(1) \times U(1)$. The classical vortex solitons break a colour-flavour locked global symmetry resulting in non-Abelian internal orientational moduli and a \mathbf{CP}^1 moduli space of solutions. At strong coupling and large k, upon reduction to type IIA strings, the vortex moduli space and its action are computed by a probe D0-brane in the dual geometry. The mass of the D0-brane matches the classical vortex mass. However, the gravity picture exhibits a six dimensional moduli space of solutions, a section of which can be identified as the \mathbf{CP}^1 we find classically, along with a Dirac monopole connection of strength k. It is likely that the extra four dimensions in the moduli space are an artifact of the strong coupling limit and of the supergravity approximation.

KEYWORDS: Gauge-gravity correspondence, Solitons Monopoles and Instantons, Chern-Simons Theories, Supersymmetric gauge theory

ARXIV EPRINT: 0906.2366



Contents

1	Inti	roduction	1
2	Mass deformed ABJM theory		5
	2.1	Vacua and symmetries	6
	2.2	Equations of motion	8
3	Vortex in the Higgs vacuum		10
	3.1	Vortex solution for $U(2) \times U(2)$	11
	3.2	Vortex solution for $U(3) \times U(3)$	12
	3.3	Vortex solution for $U(N) \times U(N)$	13
	3.4	BPS conditions and supersymmetry check	15
	3.5	Comments on the vortex effective theory	16
4	Gravity dual of the mass deformed theory		18
	4.1	The background for $k = 1$	18
	4.2	\mathbb{Z}_k quotient and reduction to type IIA	21
	4.3	Probe D0-brane dynamics	23
		4.3.1 Probe moduli space	24
		4.3.2 Moduli space effective action	25
5	Dis	cussion and conclusions	26
\mathbf{A}	Fer	mionic zero modes for $N = 2$	27
	A.1	ξ sector	27
	A.2	χ sector	29

1 Introduction

The study of vortices with non-Abelian, internal orientational degrees of freedom, has revealed beautiful connections between their moduli space dynamics and features of the gauge theory they live in [1–4]. Typically, such classical solutions occur when the non-Abelian gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken, and crucially, there exists a "colourflavour locked" global symmetry in the vacuum. A vortex solution breaking this colourflavour symmetry then gives rise to a continuous family of classical solutions which proves to be useful in extracting vortex dynamics in the moduli space approximation. In this paper we will investigate Chern-Simons vortex solitons in 2+1 dimensions, carrying non-Abelian internal orientational zero modes. The theory we consider is a mass deformation of the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetric ABJM theory [5] preserving all supersymmetries [6]. Vortex solitons in Abelian and non-Abelian Chern-Simons theories have been widely studied in both relativistic and non-relativistic settings [7–12]. Detailed reviews of these can be found in [13, 14]. More recently, the moduli space dynamics of (supersymmetric) non-Abelian Chern-Simons vortex solitons with internal collective coordinates, was analyzed in [15–18]. It was already noted in [19] that the Chern-Simons action induces terms which are first order in time derivatives in the moduli space effective description of the vortex. Specifically, the authors of [16, 17] demonstrated that the effect of the Chern-Simons coupling on the moduli space quantum mechanics of SUSY non-Abelian vortices, is to induce a coupling to a magnetic field \mathcal{F} which could then be given a geometric interpretation in terms of the first Chern character of an index bundle over the moduli space.

One of our main motivations is to study the semiclassical, solitonic objects arising in the context of the recently discovered $\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal ABJM theory [5] in 2+1 dimensions. The theory has a $U(N) \times U(N)$ gauge symmetry with matter in the bifundamental representation and a level (k, -k) Chern-Simons action for the gauge fields. It describes the world-volume dynamics of multiple M2-branes moving in a $\mathbb{C}^4/\mathbb{Z}_k$ orbifold background in M-theory. The ABJM proposal followed the seminal works of Bagger-Lambert [20] and Gustavsson [21] (BLG), which first proposed the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superconformal theory on multiple M2-branes probing flat space.

We will see that the ABJM theory, when deformed by a particular supersymmetric mass term, admits finite energy, non-Abelian Chern-Simons vortex solitons. What makes the situation particularly interesting is that the soliton dynamics can now be explored in two different regimes of the field theory: one in which semiclassical analysis is valid and another wherein the theory is strongly coupled and is described by a dual gravitational background. The study of vortices in these two regimes, including the construction of the classical solution and obtaining the dual gravity description at strong coupling, will be the subject of the paper. Using these two approaches we confirm the general picture of [16, 17], while also encountering certain unresolved puzzles.

Various nonperturbative objects have been found in BLG and ABJM theories. Monopole instantons in ABJM theory have been studied in [22]. Vortex solitons have been already studied in mass deformed BLG theory in [23, 24]. The solution found in [23] has a topological winding and a mass that is twice the one found in [24]. Vortices in mass deformed ABJM have been studied in [25]; those solutions can be interpreted as higher winding solutions with respect to the ones that we will study in this paper. Vortices in the non-relativistic limit of ABJM have been studied in [26].

Both the BLG theory in 2+1 dimensions and the ABJM theory admit mass deformations breaking conformal invariance, but preserving all of their supersymmetries [6, 27–30]. In particular, the maximally supersymmetric mass deformation of the ABJM theory was obtained in [6, 30] and the analysis of its classical vacuum structure revealed a discrete set of vacua [6]¹. This deformation breaks the SU(4) × U(1) global symmetry of the ABJM theory to SU(2) × SU(2) × U(1)_A × U(1)_B.

¹A potential discrepancy was also noted, since the classical vacuum states of the mass deformed theory are more numerous than expected from the supergravity dual.

We focus our attention on one of these classical vacua which we expect to be perturbatively accessible for large k (and $N/k \ll 1$), and we refer to this vacuum as the "Higgs vacuum". Here the $U(N) \times U(N)$ gauge group is broken to $U(1) \times U(1)$. We find that this vacuum admits classical vortex solutions carrying both electric and magnetic charge. Importantly, the Higgs vacuum exhibits a global $SU(2) \times SU(2)_{C+F} \times U(1)_B \times U(1)_A$ symmetry, where the second SU(2) factor arises via a combination of (broken) flavour SU(2)rotations and global gauge transformations. This colour-flavour locked transformation acts non-trivially on our vortex solution which breaks $SU(2)_{C+F}$ to $U(1)_{C+F}$, resulting in a \mathbb{CP}^1 moduli space of solutions. We are able to construct the classical solutions for all N, and show that they have finite energy and that they are BPS. We explicitly check that the solutions are invariant under six supercharges and are $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS states with a mass given by $k\mu$, where μ is the mass deformation parameter of the theory.

The topology of the vacuum manifold \mathcal{M} in the Higgs vacuum is non-trivial, $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{Z}_N$, and the vortex solitons carry a \mathbb{Z}_N charge. However, they are actually stabilized also by a global $U(1)_B$ charge which is quantized to be a multiple of k [5], and which is not carried by the perturbative states in the theory. Thus an N-vortex state cannot annihilate into the vacuum. Although the vortex solution is straightforward to obtain, its low energy dynamics on the moduli space appears technically challenging to derive from first principles. On general grounds, at weak coupling, since the solutions preserve six supersymmetries and the moduli space of solutions we have found is an S^2 , we expect the moduli space dynamics to be governed by supersymmetric quantum mechanics on a sphere. However this leaves unclear, the effect of the Chern-Simons terms on this quantum mechanics.

To learn more about the soliton dynamics we turn to the other parametric regime where the mass deformed ABJM theory is tractable. This is the strongly coupled, large N limit, namely $N \to \infty$, with N/k large. In this limit the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal ABJM theory is dual to eleven dimensional supergravity on $AdS_4 \times S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k$ obtained by a particular quotient of the $AdS_4 \times S^7$ solution dual to the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superconformal theory. We deduce the gravity dual of the mass deformed ABJM theory by performing a similar quotient on the background dual to the maximally supersymmetric mass deformation of the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superconformal M2-brane theory. The latter background, preserving $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUSY, and SO(4) × SO(4) symmetry, was obtained in [27, 29]. In the fermion fluid language of Lin, Lunin and Maldacena [29], the vacua of the SO(4) × SO(4), $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory are in one to one correspondence with partitions of N and are represented by states of free fermions. The Higgs vacuum is the trivial partition and is a highly excited particle state in the fermion picture. This can be interpreted as the geometry generated by a dielectric M5brane carrying N units of M2-brane charge and wrapped on one of the two S^3 's in the SO(4) × SO(4) invariant geometry.

The quotienting of the SO(4) × SO(4) background above, by the \mathbb{Z}_k action, yields the Higgs vacuum of the mass deformed ABJM theory, preserving an $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$ symmetry. For large k (such that N/k is fixed and large), we can reduce the geometry to type IIA string theory. The type IIA geometry asymptotes to $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ and contains two spheres S^2 and \tilde{S}^2 , each associated to one of the two SU(2) factors of the isometry group. The Higgs vacuum corresponds to a dielectric

D4-brane wrapping S^2 [31]. The presence of the dielectric D4-brane can also be directly inferred from a fuzzy sphere interpretation of the classical VEVs in the Higgs vacuum [32]. The general picture bears a strong resemblance to the $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$ theory [33–35], although the geometries in the present situation are completely non-singular. Non-Abelian vortices in the $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$ theory where studied in [36, 37].

The vortex soliton in the Higgs vacuum is a D0-brane probe in the above e^{2} . Surprisingly, we find that the probe mass is minimized along a six dimensional submanifold \mathcal{P} , preserving the reduced set of isometries. The value of the probe mass along the moduli space \mathcal{P} matches the value μk deduced classically. The probe moduli space \mathcal{P} can be viewed as $S^2 \times \tilde{S}^2 \times S^1$ fibred along a segment \mathcal{C} , where the S^1 is also non-trivially fibred over the two S²'s. The topology of a section at a generic point of the segment is $S^2 \times S^3$. At the two tips of \mathcal{C} , the three-sphere shrinks to zero ³ and the section is given by a two-sphere. We identify the tip where the S^3 obtained by fibering S^1 over \tilde{S}^2 shrinks as the moduli space of vortex solutions we saw at weak coupling. The probe dynamics in this section of \mathcal{P} is that of a particle on S^2 of radius $\sqrt{k/2}$ coupled to a Dirac monopole connection of strength k. The radius of the sphere also matches that of the fuzzy sphere from the classical analysis of the Higgs vacuum. The effect of the Chern-Simons interactions on the moduli space of the soliton, is to induce a Dirac monopole connection. This picture is in agreement with the general results of [16, 17]. However, the full six dimensional moduli space at strong coupling presents a puzzle, and does not appear to have a simple interpretation in terms of the soliton solutions we found at weak coupling.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the essential features of the ABJM theory and its mass deformation, their symmetries, vacuum structure and equations of motion. Importantly, we describe the origin of the colour-flavour locked symmetry in the Higgs vacuum. In section 3, we present our ansatz for the vortex soliton solutions for general N and discuss their stability and verify explicitly that they are left invariant by six supersymmetries. In section 4, we turn to the gravity dual of the mass deformed ABJM theory. We first review the basic features of the SO(4)×SO(4) symmetric solution of [27, 29] and then explain the quotienting procedure that yields the mass deformed ABJM theory. The D0-brane probe dynamics and its moduli space are then deduced straightforwardly. We summarize our results and conclusions in section 5. In appendix A the vortex fermionic zero modes are discussed for N = 2.

Note added. While this paper was being completed, a closely related preprint arXiv:0905.1759 [hep-th] [38] appeared, which overlaps with our classical field theory analysis of the vortex solitons.

²In the D-brane picture, the dielectric D4-brane will have a *B*-field along its worldvolume S^2 directions. This allows a D0-brane to form a bound state with the D4, corresponding to a noncommutative U(1) instanton in 5 dimensions, and appear as a vortex in the non-compact 2+1 dimensions.

³We thank D. Tong for drawing our attention to this.

2 Mass deformed ABJM theory

The bosonic part of the Lagrangian of the ABJM theory [5] is given by a $U(N) \times U(N)$ Chern-Simons theory, coupled to bifundamental matter with a scalar potential. The Chern-Simons levels associated to the two gauge groups are +k and -k respectively. In $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace notation the ABJM superpotential for the bifundamental matter fields reads

$$W = \frac{2\pi}{k} \operatorname{Tr}(Q^{1}(R^{1})^{\dagger}Q^{2}(R^{2})^{\dagger} - Q^{1}(R^{2})^{\dagger}Q^{2}(R^{1})^{\dagger}).$$
(2.1)

where Q^{α} and R^{α} transform in the $(\mathbf{N}, \bar{\mathbf{N}})$ representation of the gauge group. The global SU(4) R-symmetry becomes explicit upon introducing the fields

$$C^{I} = (Q^{1}, Q^{2}, R^{1}, R^{2}), \quad (I = 1, \dots 4),$$
 (2.2)

and the bosonic part of the ABJM Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{bosonic}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{Tr} \left(A_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\lambda} + \frac{2i}{3} A_{\mu} A_{\nu} A_{\lambda} - \hat{A}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \hat{A}_{\lambda} - \frac{2i}{3} \hat{A}_{\mu} \hat{A}_{\nu} \hat{A}_{\lambda} \right)$$
(2.3)
$$- \text{Tr} |D^{\mu} C^{I}|^{2} + \frac{4\pi^{2}}{3k^{2}} \text{Tr} \left(C^{I} C^{\dagger}_{I} C^{J} C^{\dagger}_{J} C^{K} C^{\dagger}_{K} + C^{I} C^{\dagger}_{J} C^{J} C^{\dagger}_{K} C^{K} C^{\dagger}_{I} + 4C^{I} C^{\dagger}_{J} C^{K} C^{\dagger}_{L} C^{K} C^{\dagger}_{K} - 6C^{I} C^{\dagger}_{J} C^{J} C^{T}_{I} C^{K} C^{\dagger}_{K} \right) ,$$

which is manifestly invariant under the SU(4) R-symmetry associated to $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetry. The covariant derivatives on the bifundamental fields are defined as

$$D^{\mu}C^{I} = \partial^{\mu}C^{I} + iA^{\mu}C^{I} - iC^{I}\hat{A}^{\mu}.$$

$$(2.4)$$

The fermionic part of the Lagrangian is,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{fermionic}} = -i \operatorname{Tr}(\psi^{\dagger})^{I} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi_{I} + \frac{2\pi i}{k} \operatorname{Tr} \left(C_{I}^{\dagger} C^{I} (\psi^{\dagger})^{J} \psi_{J} - (\psi^{\dagger})^{J} C^{I} C_{I}^{\dagger} \psi_{J} \right)$$

$$-2C_{I}^{\dagger} C^{J} (\psi^{\dagger})^{I} \psi_{J} + 2(\psi^{\dagger})^{J} C^{I} C_{J}^{\dagger} \psi_{I} + \epsilon^{IJKL} C_{I}^{\dagger} \psi_{J} C_{K}^{\dagger} \psi_{L}$$

$$-\epsilon_{IJKL} C^{I} (\psi^{\dagger})^{J} C^{K} (\psi^{\dagger})^{L}$$

$$(2.5)$$

The conventions for γ -matrices are as in [39]:

$$\gamma^{\mu} = (i\sigma_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_3). \tag{2.6}$$

To raise and lower spinor indices the $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ symbol is used, with $\epsilon^{12} = -\epsilon_{12} = 1$. The charge conjugation on spinors is given by $\psi^c = \psi^*$. The metric choice is $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1)$.

In [6], a mass deformation of the ABJM theory was found which preserves all the supersymmetries and breaks the $SU(4)_R \times U(1)$ global symmetry down to $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times$ $U(1)_A \times U(1)_B \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. The \mathbb{Z}_2 action swaps the matter fields Q^{α} and R^{α} , while the SU(2)factors act individually on the doublets $\{Q^{\alpha}\}$ and $\{R^{\alpha}\}$ respectively. The $U(1)_A$ symmetry rotates Q^{α} with a phase +1 and R^{α} with a phase -1. This perturbation can be written as a superpotential in the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfield formalism discussed in [30]. The R-symmetry group is $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_A$. This mass deformed theory is an example of three dimensional supersymmetric theory with the so called "non-central" term in the supersymmetry algebra [40, 41]; this means that the anticommutator of the supercharges closes not only in a combination of momentum generators and central charges, but also in generators of the R-symmetry. The expression in component fields is:

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \mu^2 \text{Tr}(Q^{\alpha} Q^{\dagger}_{\alpha} + R^{\alpha} R^{\dagger}_{\alpha}) + \mu \frac{8\pi}{k} \text{Tr}(Q^{\alpha} Q^{\dagger}_{[\alpha} Q^{\beta} Q^{\beta}_{\beta]} - R^{\alpha} R^{\dagger}_{[\alpha} R^{\beta} R^{\beta}_{\beta]}) - (2.7)$$
$$-i\mu \text{Tr}(\xi^{\dagger}_{1}\xi_{1} + \xi^{\dagger}_{2}\xi_{2} - \chi^{\dagger}_{1}\chi_{1} - \chi^{\dagger}_{2}\chi_{2}),$$

where $\psi_I = (\xi_1, \xi_1, \chi_1, \chi_2)$. The scalar potential of the mass deformed theory can be written in a compact way as

$$V = \text{Tr}(|M^{\alpha}|^{2} + |N^{\alpha}|^{2}), \qquad (2.8)$$

where

$$\begin{split} M^{\alpha} &= \mu Q^{\alpha} + \frac{2\pi}{k} (2Q^{[\alpha}Q^{\dagger}_{\beta}Q^{\beta]} + R^{\beta}R^{\dagger}_{\beta}Q^{\alpha} - Q^{\alpha}R^{\dagger}_{\beta}R^{\beta} + 2Q^{\beta}R^{\dagger}_{\beta}R^{\alpha} - 2R^{\alpha}R^{\dagger}_{\beta}Q^{\beta}) \,, \\ N^{\alpha} &= -\mu R^{\alpha} + \frac{2\pi}{k} (2R^{[\alpha}R^{\dagger}_{\beta}R^{\beta]} + Q^{\beta}Q^{\dagger}_{\beta}R^{\alpha} - R^{\alpha}Q^{\dagger}_{\beta}Q^{\beta} + 2R^{\beta}Q^{\dagger}_{\beta}Q^{\alpha} - 2Q^{\alpha}Q^{\dagger}_{\beta}R^{\beta}) \,. \end{split}$$

It is also possible to consider the theory with gauge group $SU(N) \times SU(N)$. In this case for N = 2 we recover the Bagger-Lambert theory [20]. The $U(1)_B$ global symmetry of the $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ theory, is given by the baryon number (under which (Q^{α}, R^{α}) have charge +1).

In the $U(N) \times U(N)$ gauge theory the naive baryon number symmetry is gauged by a gauge field A_b corresponding to the off-diagonal combination of the two Abelian factors in $U(N) \times U(N)$. The remaining Abelian symmetry $U(1)_{\tilde{b}}$ acts trivially on all the matter fields and couples to the theory through the Abelian Chern-Simons interaction $S_{CS} = \frac{k}{4\pi} A_b \wedge F_{\tilde{b}}$. Hence there is another $U(1)_B$ global symmetry generated by the current $*F_{\tilde{b}}$ which is related by the the equation of motion for A_b to the $U(1)_b$ current,

$$J_{\mu} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\tilde{b}}^{\nu\rho} \,. \tag{2.9}$$

The flux quantization condition on $F_{\tilde{b}}$ implies that the U(1)_B charges are quantized as integer multiples of k. In the ABJM theory, the chiral primary operators made from elementary fields, of the form $\text{Tr}((C_I C_J^{\dagger})^{\ell})$, do not carry this U(1)_B charge. Gauge invariant operators carrying the quantized baryon number correspond to combinations of the form C^{nk} along with 't Hooft operators. The presence of this global charge under which elementary states are uncharged will be important for the stability of the vortex solitons we find in the mass deformed theory below.

2.1 Vacua and symmetries

After mass deformation, the ABJM theory has several isolated classical vacua preserving different amounts of gauge symmetry. These were obtained in [6]. As in the case of the $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$ theory in 3 + 1 dimensions [33, 34], classical vacua may be enumerated by finding

block diagonal solutions to the F-term vacuum conditions. In this case, for the scalar potential to vanish we must have

$$M^{\alpha} = N^{\alpha} = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

These equations have simple solutions if we assume that either $R^{\alpha} = 0$ or $Q^{\alpha} = 0$. In the following we will concentrate on some configurations with $R^{\alpha} = 0$. This choice breaks the discrete \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry. The potential for such configurations is

$$V = \operatorname{Tr} \left| \mu Q^{\alpha} + \frac{2\pi}{k} (Q^{\alpha} Q^{\dagger}_{\beta} Q^{\beta} - Q^{\beta} Q^{\dagger}_{\beta} Q^{\alpha}) \right|^{2} .$$
 (2.11)

We consider the following vacuum, which corresponds to an $N \times N$ irreducible solution and we will call this the Higgs vacuum,

$$Q^{1} = \sqrt{\frac{k\mu}{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & \sqrt{N-2} & & \\ & & \sqrt{N-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q^{2} = \sqrt{\frac{k\mu}{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ \sqrt{N-1} & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & 0 & \\ & & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . (2.12)$$

In this vacuum the gauge symmetry is almost completely broken by the VEV,

$$U(N) \times U(N) \to U(1)_{\tilde{b}} \times U(1).$$
(2.13)

It is a trivial fact that the $U(1)_{\tilde{b}}$ factor cannot be broken, because it couples to the other fields of the theory just through Chern-Simons interactions. If we label the two different gauge groups as $U(N)_L$ and $U(N)_R$, the Higgs vacuum configuration breaks $U(1)_b$ and the $SU(N)_R \subset U(N)_R$. The unbroken U(1) gauge symmetry is a particular combination of the $U(1)_b$ with a diagonal generator of the $SU(N)_L$ gauge group which acts on Q^{α} from the left. All other generators of $SU(N)_L$ are broken. The unbroken generator is

$$K_L = \text{Diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0).$$
 (2.14)

The global SU(2) symmetry acting on the doublet (Q^1, Q^2) is also broken.

Similar to the case of the $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$ theory, the above solutions can be interpreted as fuzzy complex coordinates, which can be decomposed into real (Hermitian) coordinates X_p as in [39],

$$Q^1 = X^1 + iX^2, \quad Q^2 = X^3 + iX^4.$$
 (2.15)

The Higgs vacuum configuration implies that

$$Q^{\dagger}_{\alpha}Q^{\alpha} = \mathbf{1}\left(N-1\right)\frac{\mu k}{2\pi} \tag{2.16}$$

which formally resembles a fuzzy S^3 equation. However, due to the fact that Q^1 is Hermitian implying that $X^2 = 0$, one suspects that the configuration actually describes a fuzzy two sphere. This latter picture has been confirmed in [32]. Qualitatively the situation is somewhat similar to the Higgs vacuum of the $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$ theory characterized by such a fuzzy sphere configuration which breaks both a global flavour symmetry and the gauge group. There, a combination of the broken gauge and flavour generators can be shown to generate a "colour-flavour" locked symmetry [36, 37] which leaves the VEVs invariant.

One expects therefore that the Higgs vacuum of the mass deformed ABJM theory should have an unbroken global symmetry which is a combination of the broken gauge transformations and the broken global SU(2) symmetry that acts on the doublet (Q^1, Q^2) . Indeed, we find such a colour-flavour locked global symmetry of the vacuum.

For every N, there is a special combination of the broken global symmetry and of the broken gauge symmetry which is left unbroken by the VEV. Let us first denote the three generators of SU(2) in an irreducible representation of dimension m, as J_m^a (with a = 1...3)

Now consider the following SU(2) global transformation , acting on the Q^{α} :

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q^1 \\ Q^2 \end{pmatrix} \to U_F \cdot \begin{pmatrix} Q^1 \\ Q^2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad U_F = \exp\left(i\alpha_a J_2^a\right) \,. \tag{2.17}$$

It can be checked that such a global transformation of the VEVs can be undone by embedding the global rotation into (constant) $SU(N)_L \times SU(N)_R$ gauge transformations:

$$Q^{\alpha} \to W_L \, Q^{\alpha} \, W_R^{\dagger} \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$W_{L} = \left(\frac{1}{0} \frac{0}{\exp\left(i\alpha_{1}J_{N-1}^{1} - i\alpha_{2}J_{N-1}^{2} - i\alpha_{3}J_{N-1}^{3}\right)}\right), \qquad (2.19)$$
$$W_{R} = \exp\left(-i\alpha_{1}J_{N}^{1} + i\alpha_{2}J_{N}^{2} + i\alpha_{3}J_{N}^{3}\right).$$

Note that it is only the broken gauge transformations which are involved in the colour rotation. We denote this unbroken "colour-flavour" locked symmetry as $SU(2)_{C+F}$. Thus the Higgs vaccum of the mass deformed ABJM theory has this symmetry and excitations around this vacuum should fall into multiplets of the $SU(2)_{C+F}$ symmetry. A nice explanation of how this embedding of global rotations in the gauge group is made possible, is given in [32] ⁴. We will be interested in vortex solitons in this vacuum and the existence of the colour-flavour locked symmetry has interesting implications for the solitons.

2.2 Equations of motion

The classical picture for the vacuum states of the theory above and the classical solutions we now look for, will only be valid in the weakly coupled regime which in turn implies

⁴As explained in [32], the set of matrices $J_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \frac{2\pi}{\mu k} Q_{\alpha}^{\dagger} Q^{\beta}$ are generators of U(2). If we further define $J_i = (\sigma_i^T)_{\beta}^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}^{\beta}$, it is easily checked that these satisfy SU(2) commutation relations. The J_i transform as adjoints of the U(N)_R gauge symmetry and provide an N-dimensional irreducible representation of the SU(2) algebra. One may do the same with the matrices $\bar{J}_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \frac{2\pi}{\mu k} Q^{\beta} Q_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$ and define $\bar{J}_i = (\sigma_i)_{\beta}^{\alpha} \bar{J}_{\alpha}^{\beta}$. These furnish an N-1 dimensional irreducible representation and are adjoints under the U(N)_L gauge symmetry. The action of these generators of the gauge symmetry on the bifundamentals Q_{α} precisely matches a global SU(2) rotation.

 $k \gg 1$. Since the ABJM theory has no Maxwell terms for the gauge fields, the equations of motion for the gauge field yield Gauss law type constraints. These are of the form

$$\frac{k}{4\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}F_{\nu\rho} = i\left((Q^{\alpha})(D^{\mu}Q^{\alpha})^{\dagger} - (D^{\mu}Q^{\alpha})(Q^{\alpha})^{\dagger}\right), \qquad (2.20)$$
$$\frac{k}{4\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\hat{F}_{\nu\rho} = i\left((D^{\mu}Q^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(Q^{\alpha}) - (Q^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(D^{\mu}Q^{\alpha})\right),$$

where the field strength is defined as:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + i[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]. \qquad (2.21)$$

Finally there are the second order equations of motion for the scalar fields Q^{α} , with the ansatz $R^{\alpha} = 0$,

$$D_{\mu}D^{\mu}Q^{1} = \mu W^{1} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(W^{1}(Q^{2})^{\dagger}Q^{2} - Q^{2}(Q^{2})^{\dagger}W^{1} \right) +$$

$$+ \frac{4\pi}{k} \mu \left(Q^{1}(Q^{2})^{\dagger}Q^{2} - Q^{2}(Q^{2})^{\dagger}Q^{1} \right) +$$

$$+ \frac{4\pi^{2}}{k^{2}} \left(Q^{1}(Q^{1})^{\dagger}Q^{1}(Q^{2})^{\dagger}Q^{2} + Q^{1}(Q^{2})^{\dagger}Q^{2}(Q^{1})^{\dagger}Q^{1} + Q^{2}(Q^{2})^{\dagger}Q^{1}(Q^{1})^{\dagger}Q^{1} +$$

$$+ Q^{1}(Q^{1})^{\dagger}Q^{2}(Q^{2})^{\dagger}Q^{1} - 2Q^{1}(Q^{2})^{\dagger}Q^{1}(Q^{1})^{\dagger}Q^{2} - 2Q^{2}(Q^{1})^{\dagger}Q^{1}(Q^{2})^{\dagger}Q^{1} \right) ,$$

$$(2.22)$$

where

$$W^{1} = \mu Q^{1} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(Q^{1} (Q^{2})^{\dagger} Q^{2} - Q^{2} (Q^{2})^{\dagger} Q^{1} \right) , \qquad (2.23)$$
$$W^{2} = \mu Q^{2} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(Q^{2} (Q^{1})^{\dagger} Q^{1} - Q^{1} (Q^{1})^{\dagger} Q^{2} \right) .$$

The equation of motion for Q^2 is identical to this and and can be obtained from the above by exchanging all Q^{1} 's with Q^{2} 's.

We will look for static, axially symmetric solutions to the above equations of motion carrying charge under the U(1)_B symmetry generated by $*F_{\tilde{b}}$. To this end we set the time derivatives of Q^{α} and $A_{r,\varphi}$ to zero where (r,φ) are polar coordinates on the plane. In addition we choose the gauge $A_r = 0$. We then get the following constraints between (A_0, \hat{A}_0) and (F_{12}, \hat{F}_{12}) which are particularly useful in solving for the scalar potentials, since they are only algebraic conditions on the latter,

$$\frac{k}{2\pi}F_{12} = \left(Q^{\alpha}(Q^{\alpha})^{\dagger}A^{0} + A^{0}Q^{\alpha}(Q^{\alpha})^{\dagger} - 2Q^{\alpha}\hat{A}^{0}(Q^{\alpha})^{\dagger}\right), \qquad (2.24)$$
$$\frac{k}{2\pi}\hat{F}_{12} = \left(-(Q^{\alpha})^{\dagger}Q^{\alpha}\hat{A}^{0} - \hat{A}^{0}(Q^{\alpha})^{\dagger}Q^{\alpha} + 2(Q^{\alpha})^{\dagger}A^{0}Q^{\alpha}\right).$$

These relate the non-Abelian charge densities to the magnetic flux carried by the configuration, in each gauge group factor. In our vortex ansatz we can use this constraint to fix the form of A_0 once that we have fixed an ansatz for A_{φ} and for Q^{α} . Note that this is a first order equation, but determines A_0 algebraically. The second set of Gauss constraints relate F_{0r} to A_{φ} , yielding a second order differential equation. In addition to this we also need to ensure that the conditions $F_{0\varphi} = 0$ (and $A_r = 0$) emerging as a consequence of azimuthal symmetry are consistently satisfied.

Once we obtain explicit solutions to the Lagrange equations of motion, we can compute the mass of the soliton using the following expression for the energy density

$$E = \int d^2 r (|D^0 Q^\alpha|^2 + |\vec{D} Q^\alpha|^2 + V(Q^\alpha)).$$
 (2.25)

3 Vortex in the Higgs vacuum

Let us first of all discuss the topology of the vacuum manifold

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{\mathrm{SU}(N)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(N)_R \times \mathrm{U}(1)_b}{\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{unbroken}}} = \frac{G}{H}.$$
(3.1)

There is a subtlety in the definition of G that we now need to note. One combination of the centers of the $\mathrm{SU}(N)_L$ and $\mathrm{SU}(N)_R$ acts non-trivially on the matter fields and this action can be undone by a \mathbb{Z}_N rotation in $\mathrm{U}(1)_b$. The other combination results in a \mathbb{Z}_N centersymmetry under which the matter fields are uncharged. Due to this reason, the fundamental group of G is given by $\pi_1(G) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_N$, where the \mathbb{Z}_N factor corresponds to non-contractible loops around which fields wind by a \mathbb{Z}_N rotation generated by the diagonal combination of the centers of the $\mathrm{SU}(N)_L$ and $\mathrm{SU}(N)_R$ factors. We can then write the homotopy exact sequence:

$$\dots \to \pi_1(H) \to \pi_1(G) \to \pi_1(G/H) \to \pi_0(H) \to \dots$$
$$\dots \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_N \to \pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \to 0 \to \dots$$

From a straightforward application of the properties of the homotopy exact sequence, it follows that

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{Z}_N \,. \tag{3.2}$$

The vortex solitons are classified by a \mathbb{Z}_N topological quantum number; if we take a configuration made of N elementary vortices, they are not in principle any more topologically stable.

From the topological point of view, a configuration made by N vortices actually corresponds to a trivial element of $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$. However, there is another quantum number that can make the N-vortex configuration stable. As we have explained above, in the $U(N) \times U(N)$ gauge theory, the perturbative states of the theory are not charged under the $U(1)_B$ global symmetry defined by the current in eq. (2.9). The vortex solitons we find will be charged under this symmetry and for this reason protected from decaying to the perturbative states. The $U(1)_B$ charge carried by these vortices is measured by the magnetic flux associated to $*F_{\tilde{b}}$, carried by the soliton. These vortex solitons can also be thought of as states created by the 't Hooft monopole operators in the Higgs vacuum of the mass deformed theory. See [43] for a discussion of the corresponding operators in conformal field theories.

In this section we will write an explicit ansatz for the elementary vortex in the $U(N) \times U(N)$ theory. The vortex solutions in the $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ theory can be obtained by simply projecting out the abelian part from the gauge fields (A_{μ}, \hat{A}_{μ}) .

3.1 Vortex solution for $U(2) \times U(2)$

We begin with the simplest example with N = 2. In this case the solution that we find is very similar to the one found in [24] in the mass-deformed Bagger-Lambert-Gustavsson theory, which, for N = 2 corresponds to ABJM theory with gauge group SU(2)×SU(2) [44]. The vortex ansatz should be axially symmetric in two dimensions and the scalar field VEVs should asymptote to the Higgs vacuum. We therefore take the ansatz,

$$Q^{1} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu k}{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Q^{2} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu k}{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e^{i\varphi}\psi(r) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.3)

where the second scalar winds around origin. The ansatz breaks completely, the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R \subset \mathrm{U}(2)_R$ gauge symmetry which acts from the right. A combination of the diagonal generator of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ with $\mathrm{U}(1)_b$ is however, preserved, while all fields are neutral under $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\tilde{b}}$. The vacuum manifold

$$\mathcal{M} = (\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_R \times \mathrm{U}(1)_b) / \mathrm{U}(1)$$
(3.4)

has the fundamental homotopy group, $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. However, as we have already noted, the solutions with generic winding numbers are stable due to the global U(1) charge associated to the symmetry generated by the current $*F_{\tilde{k}}$.

The spatial components of A_{μ} are

$$\hat{A}_{i} = A_{i} = \frac{\epsilon_{ij}x_{j}}{r^{2}} \left(1 - f(r)\right) \frac{\mathbf{1}_{2} - \sigma_{3}}{2} = \frac{\epsilon_{ij}x_{j}}{r^{2}} \left(1 - f(r)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.5)$$

from which follows that the magnetic fluxes are

$$F_{12} = \hat{F}_{12} = \frac{f'}{r} \frac{\mathbf{1}_2 - \sigma_3}{2} = \frac{f'}{r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.6)

Computing the charge associated to the U(1) symmetry generated by the current $*F_{\tilde{b}}$, we find

$$\int d^2x \, \frac{k}{2\pi} \epsilon_{0ij} F_{\tilde{b}}^{ij} = k, \qquad (3.7)$$

as expected for a state created by a 't Hooft operator. The scalar gauge potentials (A_0, \hat{A}_0) , are then determined by the constraints in eq. (2.24), and are given by,

$$A_{0} = -\frac{f'}{\mu r} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{2} - \sigma_{3}}{4} = -\frac{f'}{2\mu r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.8)$$
$$\hat{A}_{0} = -\frac{f'}{\mu r} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{2} + \sigma_{3}}{4} = -\frac{f'}{2\mu r} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Inserting the above ansatz into the equations of motion, we get the following equations for the vortex profile functions f(r) and $\psi(r)$,

$$\psi'' + \frac{\psi'}{r} - \frac{f^2\psi}{r^2} - 2\mu^2\psi(\psi^2 - 1) = 0, \qquad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{split} f'' &- \frac{f'}{r} + 4 f \mu^2 \psi^2 = 0 \,, \\ \frac{\left(f'\right)^2}{4r^2 \mu^2} &- \mu^2 \left(\psi^2 - 1\right)^2 = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

These equations are consistent and any two can be used to derive the third. In fact they follow from first order BPS equations. The BPS equations can be obtained by considering the energy functional

$$E = \frac{k\mu^3}{2\pi} \int 2\pi r \, dr \, \left(\frac{1}{4\mu^4} \frac{(f')^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\mu^2} \left(\frac{f^2 \psi^2}{r^2} + (\psi')^2 \right) + (\psi^2 - 1)^2 \right) \,. \tag{3.10}$$

Rearranging various terms we find the Bogomol'nyi completion,

$$E = k \int 2\pi r \, dr \left(2 \left(\frac{f'}{4r \sqrt{\mu \pi}} - \frac{\mu^{3/2} (\psi^2 - 1)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^2 + \left(\psi' - \frac{f\psi}{r} \right)^2 \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \right) + \qquad (3.11)$$
$$+ k\mu \int dr \, \partial_r \left(f(\psi^2 - 1) \right) \, .$$

The first order equations obeyed by BPS solutions are equivalent to the three equations (3.9) which are then automatically satisfied.

We further note that, even though this is physically a Chern-Simons vortex, the equations for the profiles are formally the same as the ones for the BPS Abrikosov-Nielsen-Olesen vortex [42]. The magnetic field has a maximum at the origin, unlike the conventional Chern-Simons vortex. The BPS vortex mass is

$$T = k\mu. (3.12)$$

Importantly, it is straightforward to check that the solutions above are left invariant by the action of a U(1) subgroup of the colour-flavour locked symmetry $SU(2)_{C+F}$ in the Higgs vacuum. The unbroken $U(1)_{C+F}$ is generated by the combined action of the diagonal generator (proportional to σ_3) of the gauge $SU(2)_R$ and that of the SU(2) R-symmetry which acts on the doublet $\{Q^{\alpha}\}$. Hence the soliton is endowed with an internal moduli space

$$CP^1 \simeq SU(2)_{C+F} / U(1)_{C+F}.$$
 (3.13)

Acting on the vortex with the broken generators of $SU(2)_{C+F}$ generates new solutions and changes the orientation of the non-Abelian flux within the $SU(2)_R$ gauge group factor. Note that this does not change the global charge under $*F_{\tilde{h}}$.

3.2 Vortex solution for $U(3) \times U(3)$

We now exhibit the explicit ansatz and solution for the N = 3 case. This will provide some intuition for how to obtain the general solution. The ansatz for the bifundamental scalars approaching the Higgs vacuum at infinity is

$$Q^{1} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu k}{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Q^{2} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu k}{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ \sqrt{2}\kappa(r) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\varphi}\psi(r) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.14)$$

where we have introduced one additional real profile function κ for the scalar that winds around the origin. We find that κ remains non-vanishing for all r. The spatial vector fields have the form,

$$\hat{A}_{i} = A_{i} = \frac{\epsilon_{ij} x_{j}}{r^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -g(r) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 - f(r) \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.15)

whilst the scalar gauge potentials are chosen to satisfy the Gauss law constraints:

$$A_0 = -\frac{1}{4r\mu} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & f' + 2g' & 0\\ 0 & 0 & f' \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \hat{A}_0 = -\frac{1}{4r\mu} \begin{pmatrix} f' + 2g' & 0 & 0\\ 0 & f' & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.16)

The function f approaches unity at r = 0 and vanishes as $r \to \infty$. On the other hand, the profile function g(r) is zero both at r = 0 and at $r \to \infty$, and thus does not influence the flux carried by the solution.

It is possible to obtain first order BPS equations for the ansatz by expressing the vortex energy energy functional as a sum of squares. The energy functional

$$E = \frac{k\mu}{2\pi} \int 2\pi r \, dr \quad \left(\frac{(f')^2 + 2(g')^2}{8r^2\mu^2} + (\psi')^2 + 2(\eta')^2 + \frac{(f-g)^2\psi^2 + 2g^2\kappa^2}{r^2} + 2\mu^2(\psi^2 - 1)^2 + \mu^2(\psi^2 - 2\kappa^2 + 1)^2\right). \tag{3.17}$$

It is fairly easy to the infer the Bogomol'nyi completion which implies first order BPS equations,

$$E = \int 2\pi r \, dr \quad \left(\frac{k}{8\pi\mu} \left(2\mu^2 (1 - 2\kappa^2 + \psi^2) + \frac{g'}{r}\right)^2 + \frac{k}{16\pi\mu} \left(4\mu^2 (\psi^2 - 1) - \frac{f'}{f}\right)^2 + \frac{k\mu}{2\pi} \left(\psi' - \frac{(f - g)\psi}{r}\right)^2 + \frac{k\mu}{\pi} \left(\kappa' - \frac{g\kappa}{r}\right)^2\right) + (3.18)$$
$$+k\mu \int dr \, \partial_r \left(f(\psi^2 - 1) + g(2\kappa^2 - \psi^2 - 1)\right) \, .$$

The system of fist order BPS equations can be solved numerically; the result is shown in figure 1. The new function g does not influence the mass of the soliton since it vanishes both at the origin and at infinity. Hence the vortex mass is again:

$$T = k\mu. (3.19)$$

It is straightforward to check that the gauge theory equations of motion are satisfied.

3.3 Vortex solution for $U(N) \times U(N)$

It is now straightforward to write the soliton ansatz for generic N. The field Q^1 is taken to be constant and equal to its VEV in the Higgs vacuum eq. (2.12). The non-zero entries of Q^2 are parameterized as:

$$(Q^2)_{N,N-1} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu k}{2\pi}} e^{i\varphi} \psi(r) \qquad (Q^2)_{N-j,N-j-1} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu k}{2\pi}} \sqrt{j+1} \kappa_j(r) , \qquad (3.20)$$



Figure 1. The vortex profile for N = 3. Left: ψ (solid), κ (dashes). Right: f (solid), g (dashes).

with j = 1, 2, ..., N - 2. The new radial profile functions will generically be non-zero whn solved for. An additional set of N - 1 functions is also necessary for the gauge fields,

$$A_{i} = \hat{A}_{i} = \frac{\epsilon_{ij}x_{j}}{r^{2}} \operatorname{Diag}\left(0, -g_{N-2}(r), \dots, -g_{1}(r), 1 - f(r)\right).$$
(3.21)

Of these, only f(r) influences the net magnetic flux, since the g_{ℓ} vanish at the origin and at infinity. The time component of the gauge fields are given by:

$$A_{0} = \frac{-1}{2\mu r} \operatorname{Diag} \left(0, \frac{f'}{N-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \frac{g'_{j}}{N-1-j}, \frac{f'}{N-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-3} \frac{g'_{j}}{N-1-j}, \dots, \frac{f'}{N-1} \right) ,$$
(3.22)

$$\hat{A}_0 = \frac{-1}{2\mu r} \text{Diag} \left(\frac{f'}{N-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \frac{g'_j}{N-1-j}, \frac{f'}{N-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-3} \frac{g'_j}{N-1-j}, \dots, \frac{f'}{N-1}, 0 \right).$$

We have then to write 2(N-1) first order BPS equations for these profile functions. From our solutions for N = 2 and 3, we conclude that the BPS solutions satisfy the equations,

$$D_0 Q^1 - iW^1 = 0, \qquad D_1 Q^2 + iD_2 Q^2 = 0.$$
 (3.23)

These equations lead to first order differential equations for the profile functions. The following set of equations is also trivially satisfied by our ansatzë,

$$D_1 Q^1 = 0, \qquad D_2 Q^1 = 0, \qquad D_0 Q^2 = 0, \qquad W^2 = 0.$$
 (3.24)

Below, for completeness we list the first order equations of motion for general N,

$$\frac{f'}{r} + 2(N-1)\mu^2(1-\psi^2) = 0, \quad \frac{g'_1}{r} + 2(N-2)\mu^2(1+\psi^2-2\kappa_1^2) = 0,$$

$$\frac{g'_j}{r} + 2(N-1-j)\mu^2(1+j\kappa_{j-1}^2-(j+1)\kappa_j^2) = 0, \qquad 2 \le j \le N-2 \quad (3.25)$$

$$\psi' - \frac{(f-g_1)\psi}{r} = 0, \quad \kappa'_j - \frac{(g_j-g_{j+1})\kappa_j}{r} = 0 \qquad (1 \le j \le N-3), \quad (3.26)$$

$$\kappa'_{N-2} - \frac{g_{N-2}\kappa_{N-2}}{r} = 0.$$

It is straightforward to check that in general

$$T = k\mu. (3.27)$$

The global $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{C+F}$ for the Higgs vacuum is broken by the vortex soliton to $\mathrm{U}(1)_{C+F}$. This latter symmetry is generated by a combination of the diagonal R-symmetry generator, along with the generator proportional to $(0, J_{N-1}^3)$ of the $\mathrm{SU}(N)_L$ gauge group and the generator J_N^3 of the $\mathrm{SU}(N)_R$ gauge group factor. Therefore, the vortex soliton for general N also has a \mathbb{CP}^1 moduli space for its internal orientational degrees of freedom.

3.4 BPS conditions and supersymmetry check

In supersymmetric theories, the vortex first order equations are usually related to some amount of preserved supersymmetry (see [12] for a discussion in the case of the U(1) Chern-Simons vortex). In the case at hand we will see that our solutions preserve one half of the supersymmetries of the full $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetric mass deformed theory. To check the supersymmetry variations around the soliton vortex solutions we will need the general SUSY variations of the mass deformed ABJM theory. The supersymmetry transformations of the mass deformed theory differ very slightly from those of the conformal theory. We follow the notation of [45] for the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ SUSY transformations of the ABJM theory and infer the effect of the mass deformation from the work of [30]. Let us check how many supersymmetries are preserved by the vortex solution.

In order to parameterize the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetries, let us introduce 6 Majorana real spinors ϵ_i (i = 1, ..., 6) and use them to define ω_{AB} , the spinor valued totally antisymmetric tensor of SU(4),

$$\omega_{AB} = \epsilon_i (\Gamma^i)_{AB}, \qquad \omega^{AB} = \epsilon_i ((\Gamma^i)^*)^{AB}, \qquad A, B = 1, \dots 4.$$
(3.28)

Here Γ^i are SO(6) gamma matrices, represented as a set of anti-symmetric matrices [45]; the conventions for the fermionic part of the lagrangian are the same as in [39]. The explicit expression for ω_{AB} is

$$\omega_{AB} = \epsilon_k \Gamma_{AB}^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\epsilon_6 - i\epsilon_5 & \epsilon_3 + i\epsilon_4 & -\epsilon_2 - i\epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_6 + i\epsilon_5 & 0 & \epsilon_2 - i\epsilon_1 & \epsilon_3 - i\epsilon_4 \\ -\epsilon_3 - i\epsilon_4 & -\epsilon_2 + i\epsilon_1 & 0 & \epsilon_6 - i\epsilon_5 \\ \epsilon_2 + i\epsilon_1 & -\epsilon_3 + i\epsilon_4 & -\epsilon_6 + i\epsilon_5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.29)

With these conventions $\omega_{41} = \omega_{23}^*$, $\omega_{31} = \omega_{42}^*$ and $\omega_{43} = \omega_{12}^*$. These provide a parametrization of the SUSY variations of the SU(4) R-symmetry invariant ABJM theory.

The $\mathcal{N} = 6$ SUSY transformations then read,

$$\begin{split} \delta\psi_E &= \gamma^{\mu}\omega_{EF}D_{\mu}C^F + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(-\omega_{EF}(C^G C_G^{\dagger} C^F - C^F C_G^{\dagger} C^G) + 2\omega_{GH}C^G C_E^{\dagger} C^H \right) + \\ &+ \mu \left(M_E^{\ F} \omega_{FG} C^G \right) , \end{split}$$
(3.30)
$$M_E^{\ F} &= \text{Diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1), \\ \delta A_{\mu} &= -\frac{2\pi}{k} (C^E(\psi^{\dagger})^F \gamma_{\mu}\omega_{EF} + \omega^{EF} \gamma_{\mu}\psi_E C_F^{\dagger}) , \\ \delta \hat{A}_{\mu} &= \frac{2\pi}{k} ((\psi^{\dagger})^E C^F \gamma_{\mu}\omega_{EF} + \omega^{EF} \gamma_{\mu} C_E^{\dagger} \psi_F) , \qquad \delta C^E = i\omega^{EF} \psi_F . \end{split}$$

The matrix $M_E^{\ F}$ breaks the SU(4) R-symmetry to SU(2) × SU(2) and implements the mass deformation. On our solutions which have $R^{\alpha} = 0$, the SUSY variations of the four fermionic fields become

$$\delta\psi_1 = \gamma^{\mu}\omega_{12}D_{\mu}Q^2 - \omega_{12}W^2, \qquad (3.31)$$

$$\delta\psi_2 = \gamma^{\mu}\omega_{21}D_{\mu}Q^1 - \omega_{21}W^1, \qquad (3.32)$$

$$\delta\psi_3 = \gamma^{\mu}\omega_{31}D_{\mu}Q^1 + \gamma^{\mu}\omega_{32}D_{\mu}Q^2 + \omega_{31}W^1 + \omega_{32}W^2, \qquad (3.33)$$

$$\delta\psi_4 = \gamma^{\mu}\omega_{41}D_{\mu}Q^1 + \gamma^{\mu}\omega_{42}D_{\mu}Q^2 + \omega_{41}W^1 + \omega_{42}W^2.$$
(3.34)

It is straightforward to check that, provided the equations (3.23), (3.24) are satisfied, the following 6 SUSY generators are unbroken:

$$\omega_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha_1, \qquad \omega_{32} = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha_2, \qquad \omega_{42} = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha_3, \qquad (3.35)$$

where $\alpha_{1,2,3}$ are three complex grassmann numbers. The vortex soliton is a 1/2 BPS object preserving six supercharges.

It is important to stress that the ones in eq. (3.35) are the unbroken supercharges for a vortex oriented in a specific direction in the $SU(2)_{C+F}$ space. What we are calling $SU(2)_F$ is an R-symmetry of the theory and is acting in a non-trivial way on the paremeters ω_{AB} , rotating the indices A, B = 1, 2 as an SU(2) doublet and acting trivially on A, B = 3, 4. As a consequence, if we rotate the vortex in the $SU(2)_{C+F}$ space, we are changing the set of the supercharges that are left unbroken by the vortex.

3.5 Comments on the vortex effective theory

In this section we have found a classical vortex solution for arbitrary k, N with minimal winding. This object breaks spontaneosly the $SU(2)_{C+F}$ symmetry to $U(1)_{C+F}$. Due to this reason, acting with the broken symmetry we can build an $S^2 = SU(2)_{C+F}/U(1)_{C+F}$ moduli space of classical vortex solutions. Our classical analysis above is valid when $k \gg 1$, for fixed N, when the theory is weakly coupled⁵. In the large N limit, the semiclassical solutions can be trusted provided the 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = N/k \ll 1$.

In the next section we will see that the gravity dual, which should be a good description of the physics at large λ , suggests that this is not all of the story. With this other approach a larger internal bosonic moduli space with dimension six is found for the elementary vortex. A possible interpretation of this result is that our ansatz in field theory is not general enough to accomodate the most general vortex solution. In our calculation we keep always the scalars $R^{\alpha} = 0$; it is possible that a more general solution with non-zero R^{α} exists. Another possible interpretation is that the extra four dimensions of the moduli space found in the string theory dual are an artifact of the strong coupling limit and of the supergravity approximation. We believe that the former of the two options is unlikely, as, after a fair

⁵ The U(N) × U(N) theory with k = 1, 2 is supposed to have enhanced supersymmetry and global symmetry; of course in this regime we cannot trust the semiclassical approximation. Also the case with $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ gauge symmetry, which corresponds to the Bagger-Lambert theory, is different because there are extra global symmetries and supersymmetries.

amount of study, we were unable to arrive at a reasonable possible ansatz for a more general solution. In order to solve the issue a detailed analysis of the bosonic zero modes of the solution along the lines of [46] should be performed. This analysis is not so straighforward, because we have first to guess the form of the generalized BPS equations, which are not completely obvious in this case. We leave this issue as a topic for further investigation.

Let us denote with \mathcal{R} the vortex internal moduli space (\mathcal{R} will include at least the S^2 moduli space that we have discussed in this section). The vortex dynamics is then described by an effective quantum mechanics with target space \mathcal{R} . The effective one dimensional sigma model involves not only second order term in the vortex velocities (the moduli space metric), but also first order term (which can be regarded as effective magnetic fields on the moduli space). These first order terms are a common feature of soliton dynamics in Chern-Simons theories [16, 19]. If $\mathcal{R} = S^2$, we expect that the vortex dynamics is described by the quantum mechanichs of a charged particle on a 2-sphere in the background of the field of a magnetic monopole [47]. This basic picture appears to be confirmed by our study of the dual gravity picture in the next section.

Since our solitons are BPS objects and preserve some of the supersymmetries of the theory, we expect that the bosonic internal orientation moduli will be accompanied by fermionic super-orientational zero modes. Monopole quantum mechanics with different amounts of supersymmetries have been studied in [48] and [49]. The vortex solutions that we have discussed in this section are 1/2 BPS objects and so preserves 6 supercharges. There is a subtle issue about the vortex worlsheet theory. If the action of the $SU(2)_{C+F}$ symmetry on the supercharges would have been trivial, we would expect that the vortex dynamics was described by an S^2 quantum mechanics with 6 supercharges. Here the situation is different: only two of the six unbroken supercharges, the ones with

$$\omega_{12} = -\omega_{21} = \omega_{43}^* = -\omega_{34}^* = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha_1$$

(and all the other entries ω_{AB} vanishing), are left unchanged by a generic $SU(2)_{C+F}$ transformation. So we expect that the effective quantum mechanics that describes the vortex has only two supercharges.

A related question is the number of fermionic zero modes on the vortex background. This is discussed in appendix A for N = 2. We find a total of eight real fermionic zero modes is found, of which, only six are generated by the action of the broken supercharges. The issue of the vortex effective theory is rather tricky. The $\mathcal{N} = 6$ mass deformed theory that we are considering has non-central extensions in the supersymmetry algebra [40, 41] (which means that the anti-commutator of some of the supersymmetry generators closes not only into a combination of translations and central charges, but also or R-symmetry generators).

A description of the relevant supersymmetry algebra is given in [50]. Let us first introduce the mass deformed $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY algebra. It consists of the Lorentz transformations $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$, the momentum generators $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}$, the SU(2) × SU(2) *R*-symmetry generators $\mathcal{R}_{ab} = \mathcal{R}_{ba}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = \dot{\mathcal{R}}_{\dot{b}\dot{a}}$, and eight supercharges $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha b\dot{c}}$. The anticommutator of the supercharges is:

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha b \dot{c}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\delta e \dot{f}}\} = \epsilon_{be} \epsilon_{\dot{c} \dot{f}} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha \delta} - 2m \epsilon_{\alpha \delta} \epsilon_{\dot{c} \dot{f}} \mathcal{R}_{be} + 2m \epsilon_{\alpha \delta} \epsilon_{be} \mathcal{R}_{\dot{c} \dot{f}} \,. \tag{3.36}$$

The $\mathcal{N} = 6$ algebra, which is the relevant one for our problem, has four additional supersymmetries \tilde{Q}^{\pm}_{α} , an extra U(1)_A R-symmetry $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and a central charge $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. The non-trivial commutation relations are:

 $[\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha}^{\pm}] = \pm \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha}^{\pm}, \qquad \{\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\alpha}^{+}, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\beta}^{-}\} = \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta} - im\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}.$ (3.37)

The central charge $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is given by the U(1)_B symmetry.

The vortex is a $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS objects and so we expect that it comes in a short $\mathcal{N} = 6$ multiplet [50, 51], which consists of four bosons and four fermions. This multiplet of eight states should be generated (via a Jackiw-Rebbi mechanism) by the three complex fermionic zero modes that correspond to broken supercharges. The other extra complex fermionic zero mode is then interpreted as the superpartner of the internal S^2 bosonic coordinate. This shows that for N = 2 there is no evidence of extra bosonic internal coordinates, which (if they existed) should have had fermionic super-partners. It might be that the situation changes for larger N, but we find this unlikely.

4 Gravity dual of the mass deformed theory

At any finite k, the ABJM theory has the interpretation of N M2-branes probing a $\mathbb{C}^4/\mathbb{Z}_k$ orbifold singularity [5]. In the large N, strong coupling limit, this allows to identify the gravity dual as eleven dimensional supergravity on $AdS_4 \times S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k$, with N units of fourform flux. Viewing the S^7 as a Hopf fibration of S^1 over \mathbb{CP}^3 , at large k, a reduction to type IIA string theory becomes possible. Then the gravity dual of the ABJM theory in the 't Hooft large N limit, as $k \to \infty$, with $\lambda = N/k$ fixed and large, is the type IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ [5]. The background has N units of Ramond-Ramond four-form flux on AdS_4 and k units of two-form flux on a $\mathbb{CP}^1 \subset \mathbb{CP}^3$.

We will adopt a similar approach to obtain the gravity dual of the mass deformed ABJM theory. This is a two step process. First we recall the results of Lin, Lunin and Maldacena (LLM) [29] and those of Bena and Warner [27], for the mass deformation of the theory on N M2-branes probing flat space. The large N gravity dual of that theory (with a large set of vacuum states) is given by the SO(4) × SO(4) symmetric LLM solutions of [29]. We will take this gravity solution and perform a \mathbb{Z}_k quotient on it to yield the mass deformed ABJM theory for generic k. Subsequently we will reduce this to a type IIA solution in the limit of large k and investigate the dynamics of vortices in this strongly coupled description.

4.1 The background for k = 1

For k = 1, which is the mass deformed theory on a large N number of M2-branes, the dual eleven dimensional metric in the notation of [29], takes the form

$$ds_{11}^2 = e^{4\tilde{\Phi}/3}(-dt^2 + dw_1^2 + dw_2^2) + e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}/3} \left(h^2 (dx^2 + dy^2) + y e^G d\Omega_3^2 + y e^{-G} d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2 \right),$$

$$e^{2\tilde{\Phi}} = \frac{1}{h^2 - V_1^2(x, y)/h^2}, \qquad \frac{1}{h^2} = 2y \cosh G, \qquad 2z(x, y) = \tanh G.$$
(4.1)

The functions z and V_1 on the x - y plane are specified by a choice of the positions of M5-branes wrapping one or the other of the two S^{3} 's in the geometry. The distribution of wrapped M5-branes picks out a particular vacuum of the mass-deformed M2-brane theory. The wrapped M5's arise as usual due to the deformation which blows up multiple M2-branes into fivebranes.

Let us make a few technical remarks in order to make contact with the notation used in [27] by Bena and Warner. In [27], the coordinates (x, y) are replaced by (u, v). The relation between the two choices of variables is the following:

$$x = 4L^2(u^2 - v^2), \qquad y = 8L^2uv, \qquad (4.2)$$

where L is a constant that corresponds to the scale of the mass deformation. Further, the solution in [27] is given in term of a function g(u, v); the relation between g and the function z(x, y) used above [29] is,

$$\partial_x g = -\frac{1}{4} \left(z - \frac{x}{2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \right) .$$
 (4.3)

Finally, the constant β^2 in [27] has to be set equal to 1/8 in order to obtain the non-singular solutions discussed in [29].

For the sake of completeness let us also write down the three form potential in this background,

$$C_3 = -\frac{e^{2\Phi}V_1}{h^2}dt \wedge dw_1 \wedge dw_2 + \mathcal{A}\,d\Omega_2 \wedge (d\lambda + d\varphi) + \mathcal{B}\,d\tilde{\Omega}_2 \wedge (d\lambda - d\varphi) \;. \tag{4.4}$$

The functions \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are then more straightforward to write in the Bena-Warner notation [27],

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{\beta} \left(g - \frac{L^2 u (u^2 + v^2) (\partial_u g)}{2L^2 v^2 - v (\partial_v g) + u (\partial_u g)} \right), \qquad (4.5)$$
$$\mathcal{B} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \left(g - \frac{L^2 v (u^2 + v^2) (\partial_v g)}{2L^2 u^2 + v (\partial_v g) - u (\partial_u g)} \right),$$

where $\beta = 1/\sqrt{8}$.

The vacuum of the mass-deformed M2-brane theory is specified by the choice of the functions z(x, y) and $V_1(x, y)$. In particular, since the Higgs vacuum in the field theory corresponds to an irreducible representation for the $N \times N$ matrices giving VEVs to the bifundamental matter fields, we expect that there is a single dielectric M5-brane made from blowing up the N M2-branes. In the large k limit, where the semiclassical analysis of the ABJM theory holds, we saw a fuzzy two sphere structure [32] which can be interpreted as N D2-branes polarized into a single wrapped D4-brane in type IIA theory. When lifted to M theory this becomes a single M5-brane. In the free fermion picture of [29], this is represented as in figure 2 as a black strip, corresponding to a highly energetic particle state. The position of the strip on the x-axis and its width are dictated by the number of M2-branes and the number of wrapped M5's.



Figure 2. The Higgs vacuum is given by one wrapped dielectric M5-brane which translates to a highly energetic particle in the fermion fluid picture.

The strip in figure 2 represents a section of the geometry at y = 0. The vertical axis is the coordinate x. In the black region the first sphere S^3 shrinks to zero size. Similarly, in the white region the second sphere \tilde{S}^3 shrinks to zero. At the boundary of the white and the black regions, both the three-spheres shrink. Let us denote with (a, b) the position of the lower and upper bounds of the black strip in figure 2. In term of the function z(x, y)this means that,

$$z(x, y = 0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{for } 0 < x < a \quad \text{and } x > a + b, \quad (4.6)$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{for } a < x < a + b \quad \text{and } x < 0.$$

We can consider arcs in the (x, y) plane that enclose a black or a white strip (see for example the arcs 1 and 2 in figure 2) and construct a four-sphere by taking one of these arcs and tensoring with the S^3 that shrinks to zero at the tips of the arc. The flux of F_4 over each of these four-spheres is equal to the thickness of the strip enclosed by these arcs. For this reason the thickness of each strip must be an integer.

The fluxes on the four-spheres that are enclosed by the arcs 1 and 2 are proportional to (b-a) and a, respectively. If $(b-a) \ll a$, we may think of the first S^4 (constructed using arc 1) as being transverse to the M5-branes. Then (b-a) corresponds to the number of M5-branes which are blowing up on a three-sphere. The second S^4 arises in the following way. Let us consider the three-sphere that the M5-branes are wrapping. At the center of the space this three-sphere is contractible. As we move away from the center towards the M5's, the backreaction of the branes on the geometry makes the S^3 contract again. This produces the S^4 which is enclosed by the arc 2 in figure 2. The product of the two F^4 fluxes is the total M2-brane charge.

The Higgs vacuum configuration is given by the following solutions for z and V_1 ,

$$z = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} - \frac{x - a}{\sqrt{(x - a)^2 + y^2}} + \frac{x - b}{\sqrt{(x - b)^2 + y^2}} \right), \qquad (4.7)$$

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x - a)^2 + y^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x - b)^2 + y^2}} \right), \quad a = N', b = N' + 1,$$

where N' is the M2-brane charge. In the notation of [27] where the solutions are written

in terms of the function g, (see eq.(4.3)),

(

t

$$g = \frac{-\sqrt{(x-b)^2 + y^2} + \sqrt{(x-a)^2 + y^2}}{8}.$$
(4.8)

We can also consider more general solutions, with an arbitrary number of black strips,

$$z = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} + \sum_{i} \frac{x - b_i}{\sqrt{(x - b_i)^2 + y^2}} - \frac{x - a_i}{\sqrt{(x - a_i)^2 + y^2}} \right),$$
(4.9)
$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} + \sum_{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x - b_i)^2 + y^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x - a_i)^2 + y^2}} + \right),$$

where
$$(a_i, b_i)$$
 are the positions of the lower and upper bounds of each of the strips. On
the field theory side, these correspond to other vacua of the theory. The full set of strip
configurations with a fixed M2-brane charge N' , can be classified by Young tableau with
 N' boxes. Their total number is given by the number partitions of N' . As pointed out
in [6], there is a mismatch between this and the number of vacua in the *classical* field
theory. The solution to this puzzle is still unknown. It is possible that this is due to the
fact that not all vacua of the theory can be realized within the supergravity approximation.
Another option is that quantum effects may possibly break supersymmetry in some of the
classically visible vacua of the mass-deformed ABJM theory.

\mathbb{Z}_k quotient and reduction to type IIA 4.2

In this section we perform a \mathbb{Z}_k quotient of the k = 1 solution. In order to keep the number of M2-branes fixed and equal to N, we have to set N' = kN.

Let us parameterize the eight directions transverse to the M2-branes, in terms of the four complex coordinates z_i , $(i = 1, \ldots 4)$,

$$z_1 = u \sin \eta \, e^{i(\lambda + \theta + \varphi)}, \qquad z_2 = u \cos \eta \, e^{i(\lambda - \theta + \varphi)}, \qquad (4.10)$$
$$z_3 = v \sin \tilde{\eta} \, e^{i(-\lambda + \tilde{\theta} + \varphi)}, \qquad z_4 = v \cos \tilde{\eta} \, e^{i(-\lambda - \tilde{\theta} + \varphi)}.$$

In this parametrization, the metrics for the two three-spheres in the eleven dimensional background (4.1) are,

$$d\Omega_3^2 = d\eta^2 + \sin^2 2\eta \, d\theta^2 + ((d\lambda + d\varphi) - \cos 2\eta \, d\theta)^2, \qquad (4.11)$$

$$d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2 = d\tilde{\eta}^2 + \sin^2 2\tilde{\eta} \, d\tilde{\theta}^2 + ((d\lambda - d\varphi) + \cos 2\tilde{\eta} \, d\tilde{\theta})^2.$$

So each S^3 is viewed as a Hopf fibration of an S^1 over S^2 , and the background has an $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ isometry, acting naturally on the three-spheres. The mass deformed ABJM theory should only retain an $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ isometry. This can be achieved by an appropriate quotient action on a linear combination of the two S^1 's, namely the φ coordinate. The \mathbb{Z}_k quotient we perform, acts on the coordinates as

$$z_j \to z_j e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}}, \qquad \varphi \to \varphi + 2\pi/k.$$
 (4.12)

Hence in the limit $k \to \infty$, the period of the angular coordinate φ shrinks and we may pass to the weakly coupled type IIA description. To implement this, it is useful to first perform a rescaling $\varphi \to \varphi/k$, and then write the eleven dimensional metric as

$$ds_{11}^{2} = e^{4\tilde{\Phi}/3}(-dt^{2} + dw_{1}^{2} + dw_{2}^{2}) + e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}/3} \left[h^{2}(dx^{2} + dy^{2}) + ye^{G}(d\eta^{2} + \sin^{2}2\eta d\theta^{2}) + ye^{-G}(d\tilde{\eta}^{2} + \sin^{2}2\tilde{\eta} d\tilde{\theta}^{2}) + \frac{2y}{\cosh G} \left(d\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\cos 2\eta d\theta + \frac{1}{2}\cos 2\tilde{\eta} d\tilde{\theta} \right)^{2}$$
(4.13)
$$+2y\cosh G \frac{1}{k^{2}}(d\varphi + k\omega)^{2} \right],$$

Here φ has period 2π and ω is the one-form,

$$\omega = \tanh G \, d\lambda - \frac{e^G}{2\cosh G} \cos 2\eta \, d\theta - \frac{e^{-G}}{2\cosh G} \cos 2\tilde{\eta} \, d\tilde{\theta}. \tag{4.14}$$

This metric has the manifest $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ isometry of the two spheres, and the two U(1) isometries corresponding to shifts of φ and λ . We see below that when we focus on a specific vacuum of the mass deformed theory, the resulting metric asymptotes to $AdS_5 \times S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k$ as it should.

With this choice of the vacuum we can now determine some features of the geometry including the large $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ asymptotics. As $r \to \infty$, we find

$$e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}} \simeq \frac{Nk}{r^3}, \qquad h^2 \simeq \frac{1}{2r}, \qquad e^G \simeq \cot\psi,$$
(4.15)

so that the metric asymptotes to $AdS_4 \times S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k$

$$ds_{11}^2 \simeq \frac{r^2}{(Nk)^{2/3}} (-dt^2 + dw_1^2 + dw_2^2) + (Nk)^{1/3} \frac{dr^2}{2r^2} + 2(Nk)^{1/3} ds_{S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k}^2.$$
(4.16)

Subsequent reduction to type IIA in the large k limit will give the $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ background of [5].

Let us quickly sketch how to pass from the eleven dimensional description to the 10dimensional type IIA one. Writing the metric as

$$ds^{2} = G_{mn}^{10} dx^{m} dx^{n} + e^{2\gamma} (dx^{11} - A_{m} dx^{m})^{2}, \qquad (4.17)$$

then the scalar $e^{3\gamma}$ is proportional to the string theory dilaton $e^{2\phi}$. Comparing with our eleven dimensional background, we conclude that

$$e^{\phi} = e^{-\tilde{\Phi}/2} \ (k h)^{-3/2} \,. \tag{4.18}$$

It is easy to check that the dilaton is bounded and therefore small everywhere, for large enough k. In addition, the dilaton vanishes at x = a = Nk and x = b = Nk + 1.

Finally, we can write the string frame metric as,

$$ds_{\text{string}}^{2} = e^{2\phi/3} G_{mn}^{10} dx^{m} dx^{n}$$

$$= e^{\tilde{\Phi}} (hk)^{-1} \left(-dt^{2} + dw_{1}^{2} + dw_{2}^{2} \right) + e^{-\tilde{\Phi}} (hk)^{-1} \left[h^{2} (dx^{2} + dy^{2}) + ye^{G} (d\eta^{2} + \sin^{2} 2\eta d\theta^{2}) + ye^{-G} (d\tilde{\eta}^{2} + \sin^{2} 2\tilde{\eta} d\tilde{\theta}^{2}) + \frac{2y}{\cosh G} \left(d\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\eta d\theta + \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\tilde{\eta} d\tilde{\theta} \right)^{2} \right].$$

$$(4.19)$$

and the Ramond-Ramond one-form potential C_1

$$C_1 = k\,\omega\tag{4.20}$$

where ω is the one-form defined in eq.(4.14). The type IIA background will also have a B_2 Neveu-Schwarz potential switched on and a three-form Ramond-Ramond potential originating from the eleven dimensional three form C_3 . We will not need these for our analysis of the dynamics of the probe D0-brane which is identified as the vortex soliton of the mass deformed ABJM theory.

4.3 Probe D0-brane dynamics

The vortex soliton in the mass deformed ABJM theory carries a charge which is an integer multiple of k, under the U(1) symmetry generated by ${}^*F_{\bar{b}}$. On the string theory side, this symmetry is generated by,

$$J = k Q_0 + N Q_4 \,, \tag{4.21}$$

where Q_0 and Q_4 are the D0-brane and the D4-brane charges. Hence it is natural to identify the vortices (which indeed carry k units of J charge, as we saw in the field theory) with the D0-branes. In the type IIA brane picture, we expect that the mass-deformed ABJM theory (for $k \gg 1$) is realized on dielectric D4-branes arising from a blown-up configuration of D2-branes. A D0-brane can form a bound state with the dielectric D4-brane and appear as a vortex soliton in the three dimensional gauge theory⁶.

The action for a probe D0-brane is given by the sum of the Born-Infeld and of the Chern-Simons term,

$$S_{\rm D0} = \int d\xi^a (e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-G_{aa}} + C_a) \,. \tag{4.22}$$

Let us first consider, a time independent probe D0-brane. Then the only contribution to the action comes from the Born-Infeld term. We identify this with the mass of the vortex

$$m = k e^{\tilde{\Phi}} h = k \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - V_1^2/h^4}}.$$
(4.23)

This quantity is minimized when

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x-a)^2 + y^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x-b)^2 + y^2}} \right) = 0, \qquad (4.24)$$

⁶This picture is rather similar to that of flux tubes and vortex strings in $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$ theory [34, 37], which arise from F1/NS5 and D1/D5 bound states.



Figure 3. The curve $V_1 = 0$ in the (x, y) plane, where the D0-brane action is minimized. In this plot we have used the numerical values a = 100, b = 101. At the points where the curve intersects the x-axis, one of the two S^2 's and an S^1 shrink to zero size. The point near x = 91 corresponds to the vortex solution visible in the field theory.

and the value of the soliton mass is

$$m = k \,. \tag{4.25}$$

This matches with the value computed for the mass of the vortex soliton in section 3 (in our string theory calculation we are working in the dimensionless units with $\mu = 1$).

4.3.1 Probe moduli space

It is fairly clear from (4.24), that the probe action attains its minimum value along a one dimensional curve in the (x, y) plane. The moduli space for the probe D0-brane is therefore a six dimensional manifold \mathcal{P} obtained by $S^2 \times \tilde{S}^2 \times S^1$ fibred along the one dimensional curve given by eq.(4.24), where the S^1 is also non-trivially fibred over the two S^2 's. The shape of \mathcal{P} projected onto the (x, y) plane is shown in figure 3. For each value of x, with

$$\tilde{x}_1 \le x \le \tilde{x}_2; \qquad \tilde{x}_1 = b - \sqrt{b^2 - ab}, \quad \tilde{x}_2 = \sqrt{ab},$$
(4.26)

there exists only one solution to eq. (4.24). Denoting this solution as $\tilde{y}(x)$, we may consider sections of \mathcal{P} at constant x. for generic $\tilde{x}_1 < x < \tilde{x}_2$ the section is five dimensional and can be parameterized with the five coordinates $(\eta, \theta, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\theta}, \lambda)$.

The topology of a cross section at a generic point of the segment with $\tilde{x}_1 < x < \tilde{x}_2$ is equivalent to $S^3 \times S^2$. When $x = \tilde{x}_1$ the S^3 obtained by fibering S^1 over \tilde{S}^2 shrinks to zero size. This section of the moduli space is parameterized by the S^2 coordinates (η, θ) . At $x = \tilde{x}_2$, the S^3 obtained by fibering S^1 over S^2 shrinks to zero and the section is parametrized by the \tilde{S}^2 coordinates $(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\theta})$.

The solitonic vortex solution that we have found in the weakly coupled limit in section 3 maps to the probe D0-brane at $x = \tilde{x}_1$ at strong coupling. At this special point the S^2 is finite sized. The dielectric D4-brane wraps this S^2 and the probe D0-brane spontaneously breaks the associated SU(2) isometry. The position of the D0-brane on this S^2 corresponds to the internal orientation of the vortex in the colour-flavour space. At this point, the



Figure 4. Kinetic terms a_1 , a_2 (in units of k) for each of the sphere components S^2 , \tilde{S}^2 as a function of (x, y).

shrunk \tilde{S}^2 and S^1 imply that the vortex solution explicitly preserves an SU(2) × U(1) global symmetry. The unbroken SU(2) can be identified as the symmetry that acts on the doublet (R^1, R^2) in the field theory.

4.3.2 Moduli space effective action

From the probe D0-brane action it is straighforward to find the vortex effective theory. The bosonic part of the vortex quantum mechanics is a 1-dimensional sigma model with target space \mathcal{P} , which can be parameterized by the five coordinates $(x, \eta, \theta, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\theta}, \lambda)$ (the value of $y = \tilde{y}(x)$ can be found by inverting eq. (4.24)). Allowing a slow time dependence for the vortex position in \mathcal{P} , the the D0-brane action can be expanded out up to second order in time derivatives

$$S_{D0}|_{\mathcal{P}} = k + S_1 + S_2, \tag{4.27}$$

where the first contribution is the D0-brane/vortex mass, and S_1, S_2 are the first and second order derivative terms respectively. The moduli space metric can be read from the Born-Infeld part of the action, while the first order terms follow from the coupling of the D0 to the Ramond-Ramond one-form, $C_1 = k\omega$. The second order kinetic terms are

$$S_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int dt \left[a_{1} \left(\dot{\eta}^{2} + (\sin^{2} 2\eta) \dot{\theta}^{2} \right) + a_{2} \left(\dot{\tilde{\eta}}^{2} + (\sin^{2} 2\tilde{\eta}) \dot{\tilde{\theta}}^{2} \right) + a_{3} \left(1 + \left(\frac{d\tilde{y}}{dx} \right)^{2} \right) \dot{x}^{2} + a_{4} \left(\dot{\lambda} - \frac{\cos 2\eta}{2} \dot{\theta} + \frac{\cos 2\tilde{\eta}}{2} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} \right)^{2} \right].$$
(4.28)

The coefficients of the second derivative terms evaluated on the moduli space, are

$$a_{1} = \frac{1}{2}k(1+2z)|_{\mathcal{P}}, \qquad a_{2} = \frac{1}{2}k(1-2z)|_{\mathcal{P}} \qquad (4.29)$$
$$a_{3} = \frac{k}{4y^{2}}(1-4z^{2})|_{\mathcal{P}}, \qquad a_{4} = k(1-4z^{2})|_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

A numerical plot of the functions a_j is given in figure 4 and 5. The six dimensional moduli space is a deformation of \mathbb{CP}^3 , preserving an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ isometry.



Figure 5. Kinetic terms a_3 , a_4 (in units of k) as a function of (x, y).

The first order terms in the D0-brane action are

$$S_1 = \int dt \left(a_1 \cos 2\eta \,\dot{\theta} + a_2 \cos 2\tilde{\eta} \,\dot{\tilde{\theta}} + (a_1 - a_2)\dot{\lambda} \right) \,, \tag{4.30}$$

which describe the motion of the particle in the presence of k units of magnetic flux through S^2 and \tilde{S}^2 .

We may add a total derivative term to the action and put it in a form where the physical interpretation becomes manifest,

$$S_1 = \int dt \left((a_1 \cos 2\eta - 1) \dot{\theta} + (a_2 \cos 2\tilde{\eta} - 1) \dot{\tilde{\theta}} + (a_1 - a_2) \dot{\lambda} \right).$$
(4.31)

Now, it is interesting to look at this action at the point in the (x, y) plane that naturally corresponds to the S^2 moduli space of vortex solitons that we have found at weak coupling. This is the point $(x, y) = (\tilde{x}_1, 0)$ or equivalently $z = \frac{1}{2}$. At this point where \tilde{S}^2 vanishes, the action is precisely that of a particle moving on S^2 with radius $\sqrt{k/2}$, in the presence of a Dirac monopole connection of strength k,

$$L_{\text{vortex}}\big|_{z=\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{k}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\dot{\eta}^2 + \sin^2 2\eta \, \dot{\theta}^2) + (\cos 2\eta - 1) \dot{\theta} \right].$$
(4.32)

Note that this is the Dirac monopole connection on the "north pole" patch, and is singular at the south pole. This is similar to the non-Abelian Chern-Simons vortex discussed in [16]. At the classical level, it appears consistent to identify this as the moduli space action for the vortex soliton we found at weak coupling since it preserves the same symmetries. It is interesting that the radius of this sphere is quantized and determined by the Chern-Simons level k. This also appears to be manifest at weak coupling where the radius of the fuzzy two-sphere in the Higgs vacuum, in eq.(2.16), after dividing out by a factor of N to normalize the corrdinates, is proportional to \sqrt{k} .

5 Discussion and conclusions

In this paper, we found $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS vortex solitons in the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ mass deformation of ABJM theory. We verified that they preserve six supercharges. These vortices in the

Higgs vacuum have internal, non-Abelian, orientational collective coordinates which are responsible for a \mathbb{CP}^1 moduli space of solutions. We also obtained the strong coupling gravity dual of the mass deformed theory and its Higgs vacuum, by performing a \mathbb{Z}_k quotient on the solution found in [27, 29]. Probe D0-branes in this background correspond to the Chern-Simons vortices. We found that the probe D0-brane exhibits a much larger moduli space than expected for the classical vortex soliton. Within this larger moduli space we could however identify a section which coincides with the classical moduli space of solutions originating from the breaking of a colour-flavour locked symmetry. The dynamics on this section is that of a point particle moving on a sphere of radius $\sqrt{k/2}$ coupled to a Dirac monopole field of strength k.

The enlarged moduli space \mathcal{P} at strong coupling leaves us with a puzzle. We think that the extra four dimensions in the moduli space are an artifact of the strong coupling limit and of the supergravity approximation. Another possible explanation could be that we have not found the most general vortex solution because our ansatz was not sufficiently general. We believe that the latter explanation is unlikely - it appears difficult to arrive at a reasonable ansatz that could realize this possibility. Also, as discussed in section (3.5), the number of fermionic zero modes (which has been computed for N = 2 in appendix A) does not suggest the existence of extra bosonic zero modes. Another particularly interesting feature of our solution, which introduces further subtleties, is that the colour-flavour locked symmetry actually involves a locking between an SU(2) R-symmetry and the global gauge rotations. This is unusual in that although a static vortex solution preserves six supercharges, an adiabatic variation of the internal orientational modulus preserves only two supercharges. The full implications of this for the vortex effective theory also need to be understood.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Tim Hollowood and Dave Tong for discussions.

A Fermionic zero modes for N = 2

In this appendix we compute the number of fermionic zero modes on the vortex background for N = 2. The equations for the two sectors ξ and χ decouple from each other and can be analyzed separately. We find four real zero modes in each sector.

A.1 ξ sector

On the vortex background, the fermionic part of the action for this sector can be written as:

$$-i\operatorname{Tr}(\xi^{\dagger})^{I}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\xi_{I} + \frac{2\pi i}{k}\operatorname{Tr}\left((-1\frac{k\mu}{2\pi} - Q_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{1} + Q_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{2})(\xi_{1}^{\dagger}\xi_{1}) + (A.1)\right) \\ + \left(-1\frac{k\mu}{2\pi} + Q_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{1} - Q_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{2}\right)(\xi_{2}^{\dagger}\xi_{2}) - 2Q_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{2}(\xi_{1}^{\dagger}\xi_{2}) - 2Q_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{1}(\xi_{2}^{\dagger}\xi_{1}) + \xi_{1}^{\dagger}(Q_{1}Q_{1}^{\dagger} - Q_{2}Q_{2}^{\dagger})\xi_{1} - \xi_{2}^{\dagger}(Q_{1}Q_{1}^{\dagger} - Q_{2}Q_{2}^{\dagger})\xi_{2} + 2\xi_{1}^{\dagger}(Q_{2}Q_{1}^{\dagger})\xi_{2} + 2\xi_{2}^{\dagger}(Q_{1}Q_{2}^{\dagger})\xi_{1}\right).$$

The following Dirac equations are found:

$$-\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\xi_{1} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(\xi_{1} \left(-1\frac{k\mu}{2\pi} - Q_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{1} + Q_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{2}\right) - 2\xi_{2}Q_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{2} + (Q_{1}Q_{1}^{\dagger} - Q_{2}Q_{2}^{\dagger})\xi_{1} + 2Q_{2}Q_{1}^{\dagger}\xi_{2}\right) = 0,$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\xi_{2} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(\xi_{2} \left(-1\frac{k\mu}{2\pi} + Q_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{1} - Q_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{2}\right) - 2\xi_{1}Q_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{1} + (Q_{2}Q_{2}^{\dagger} - Q_{1}Q_{1}^{\dagger})\xi_{2} + 2Q_{1}Q_{2}^{\dagger}\xi_{1}\right) = 0.$$

Let us write explicitly the equations for N = 2. The following notation is used:

$$\xi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{11} & \xi_{12} \\ \xi_{21} & \xi_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \xi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_{11} & \tilde{\xi}_{12} \\ \tilde{\xi}_{21} & \tilde{\xi}_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(A.2)

We get two systems of two coupled equations and four decoupled equations:

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\xi_{11} - i\gamma^{0}\frac{f'}{2r\mu}\xi_{11} - \mu(\xi_{11}(1-\psi^{2}) + 2e^{i\varphi}\psi\tilde{\xi}_{12}) = 0, \qquad (A.3)$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\xi}_{12} - i(1-f)\frac{x\gamma^{2} - y\gamma^{2}}{r^{2}}\tilde{\xi}_{12} - 2\mu e^{-i\varphi}\psi\xi_{11} = 0.$$

$$\cdot\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\xi}_{22} + i\gamma^{0}\frac{f'}{2}\tilde{\xi}_{22} - \mu(\tilde{\xi}_{22}(1-\psi^{2}) + 2e^{-i\varphi}\psi\xi_{21}) = 0, \qquad (A.4)$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\xi_{21} + i(1-f)\frac{x\gamma^{2} - y\gamma^{1}}{r^{2}}\xi_{21} - 2\mu e^{i\varphi}\psi\tilde{\xi}_{22} = 0.$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\xi_{22} + i\gamma_0 \frac{f'}{2r\mu}\xi_{22} - \mu(1+\psi^2)\xi_{22} = 0, \qquad (A.5)$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\xi}_{11} - i\gamma^{0}\frac{f'}{2r\mu}\tilde{\xi}_{11} - \mu(1+\psi^{2})\tilde{\xi}_{11} = 0, \qquad (A.6)$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\xi_{12} - i(1-f)\frac{x\gamma^2 - y\gamma^1}{r^2}\xi_{12} - 2\mu\xi_{12} = 0, \qquad (A.7)$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\xi}_{21} + i(1-f)\frac{x\gamma^2 - y\gamma^1}{r^2}\tilde{\xi}_{21} - 2\mu\tilde{\xi}_{21} = 0.$$
 (A.8)

It is straightforward to check that eqs. (A.5), (A.6) have no square-integrable solutions. Using the BPS equations, we get the system:

$$-2\mu\xi_{22}^{+} + (-\partial_1 + i\partial_2)\xi_{22}^{-} = 0 \qquad (-\partial_1 - i\partial_2)\xi_{22}^{+} - 2\mu\psi^2\xi_{22}^{-} = 0, \qquad (A.9)$$

then acting with $(\partial_1 + i\partial_2)$ on the first equation we get $(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)\xi_{22}^- + 4\mu^2\psi^2\xi_{22}^- = 0$, which has no square-integrable solutions. Eqs. (A.7), (A.8) also does not give any zero modes, they correspond to a 2-dimensional fermion with a Dirac mass term in the background of a vortex (this case is studied in [52]).

Let us go back to the two systems (A.3), (A.4). They are trivially related by a complex conjugation. Let us use the variables $\eta = \xi_{11}, \tilde{\xi}_{22}^*$ and $\lambda = \tilde{\xi}_{12}, \xi_{21}^*$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\partial_2 & -\partial_1 \\ -\partial_1 & \partial_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} - \frac{f'}{2r\mu} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} - \mu(1-\psi^2) \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} - 2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\partial_2 & -\partial_1 \\ -\partial_1 & \partial_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} - i\frac{1-f}{r} \begin{pmatrix} x/r & -y/r \\ -y/r & -x/r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} - 2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
(A.10)

After a change of the γ matrices basis, the equations become:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_1 - i\partial_2 \\ -\partial_1 + i\partial_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} - \frac{f'}{2r\mu} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} - \mu(1-\psi^2) \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} - 2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_1 - i\partial_2 \\ -\partial_1 + i\partial_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1-f}{r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\varphi} \\ -e^{-i\varphi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} - 2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
(A.11)

The problem is reduced to the one of finding the kernel of the operator:

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_1 + i\partial_2 & -2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi} & 0 & 0\\ -2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} & -\partial_1 - i\partial_2 + \frac{1-f}{r}e^{i\varphi} & 0 & 0\\ 2\mu(\psi^2 - 1) & 0 & -\partial_1 - i\partial_2 & -2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi}\\ 0 & 0 & -2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} - (\partial_1 - i\partial_2) - \frac{1-f}{r}e^{-i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (A.12)$$

acting on $(\eta_+, \lambda_-, \eta_-, \lambda_+)^t$. Let us introduce the ausiliary operators

$$\mathcal{D}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{1} + i\partial_{2} & -2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi} \\ -2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} & -\partial_{1} - i\partial_{2} + \frac{1-f}{r}e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{1} - i\partial_{2} & -2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi} \\ -2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} & -(\partial_{1} - i\partial_{2}) - \frac{1-f}{r}e^{-i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(A.13)

A computation with the index theorem [46, 53] tell us that

$$\dim(\operatorname{kernel}\mathcal{D}) - \dim(\operatorname{kernel}\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}) = 0.$$

The operators \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2^{\dagger} have a trivial kernel; index theorem then can be used to show that \mathcal{D}_1^{\dagger} and \mathcal{D}_2 have a kernel with real dimension two. This shows that

 $\dim(\operatorname{kernel}\mathcal{D}) = \dim(\operatorname{kernel}\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}) = 2.$

A related calculation can be found in [54]. We get 4 fermionic zero modes from the ξ sector.

A.2 χ sector

The relevant fermionic action is:

$$-i\operatorname{Tr}(\chi^{\dagger})^{I}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\chi_{I} + \frac{2\pi i}{k}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(Q_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{1} + Q_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{2} + 1\frac{k\mu}{2\pi}\right)(\chi_{1}^{\dagger}\chi_{1} + \chi_{2}^{\dagger}\chi_{2}) - (A.14)\right)$$
$$-\chi_{1}^{\dagger}(Q_{1}Q_{1}^{\dagger} + Q_{2}Q_{2}^{\dagger})\chi_{1} - \chi_{2}^{\dagger}(Q_{1}Q_{1}^{\dagger} + Q_{2}Q_{2}^{\dagger})\chi_{2} + Q_{1}^{\dagger}\chi_{2}Q_{2}^{\dagger}\chi_{1} - Q_{1}^{\dagger}\chi_{1}Q_{2}^{\dagger}\chi_{2} - (Q_{2}^{\dagger}\chi_{2}Q_{1}^{\dagger}\chi_{1} + Q_{2}^{\dagger}\chi_{1}Q_{1}^{\dagger}\chi_{2} - Q_{1}\chi_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{2}\chi_{1}^{\dagger} + Q_{1}\chi_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{2}\chi_{2}^{\dagger} + Q_{2}\chi_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{1}\chi_{1}^{\dagger} - Q_{2}\chi_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{1}\chi_{2}^{\dagger}\right).$$

The Dirac equations follow:

$$-\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\chi_{1} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(\chi_{1} \left(1\frac{k\mu}{2\pi} + Q_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{1} + Q_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{2} \right) - (Q_{1}Q_{1}^{\dagger} + Q_{2}Q_{2}^{\dagger})\chi_{1} + 2Q_{2}\chi_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{1} - 2Q_{1}\chi_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{2} \right) = 0$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\chi_{2} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(\chi_{2} \left(1\frac{k\mu}{2\pi} + Q_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{1} + Q_{2}^{\dagger}Q_{2} \right) - (Q_{1}Q_{1}^{\dagger} + Q_{2}Q_{2}^{\dagger})\chi_{2} - 2Q_{2}\chi_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{1} + 2Q_{1}\chi_{1}^{\dagger}Q_{2} \right) = 0$$

Let us again specialize to N = 2. The following notation is used:

$$\chi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{11} \ \chi_{12} \\ \chi_{21} \ \chi_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \chi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}_{11} \ \tilde{\chi}_{12} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{21} \ \tilde{\chi}_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(A.15)

The details of the calculations are rather similar to the ones for the ξ sector. We get two systems of two coupled equations and four decoupled equations:

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\chi_{22} + i\gamma^{0}\frac{f'}{2r\mu}\chi_{22} + \mu((1-\psi^{2})\chi_{22} + 2\psi e^{i\varphi}\tilde{\chi}_{21}^{*}) = 0, \qquad (A.16)$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\chi}_{21} + i(1-f)\frac{x\gamma^{2} - y\gamma^{1}}{r^{2}}\tilde{\chi}_{21} + 2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi}\chi_{22}^{*} = 0.$$

$${}^{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\tilde{\chi}_{21} + i\alpha^{0}\frac{f'}{\tilde{\chi}_{22}} + \mu((1-s)^{2})\tilde{\chi}_{22} - 2s(s^{i\varphi}\chi_{22}^{*}) = 0.$$
(A.17)

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\chi}_{22} + i\gamma^{0}\frac{J}{2r\mu}\tilde{\chi}_{22} + \mu((1-\psi^{2})\tilde{\chi}_{22} - 2\psi e^{i\varphi}\chi_{21}^{*}) = 0.$$
(A.17)

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\chi_{21} + i(1-f)\frac{x\gamma^{2}-y\gamma^{1}}{r^{2}}\chi_{21} - 2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi}\tilde{\chi}_{22}^{*} = 0,$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\chi_{11} - i\gamma^{0}\frac{f'}{2r\mu}\chi_{11} + \mu(1+\psi^{2})\chi_{11} = 0, \qquad (A.18)$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\chi}_{11} - i\gamma^{0}\frac{f'}{2r\mu}\tilde{\chi}_{11} + \mu(1+\psi^{2})\tilde{\chi}_{11} = 0, \qquad (A.19)$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\chi_{12} - i(1-f)\frac{x\gamma^2 - y\gamma^1}{r^2}\chi_{12} + 2\mu\chi_{12} = 0, \qquad (A.20)$$

$$-\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\chi}_{12} - i(1-f)\frac{x\gamma^2 - y\gamma^1}{r^2}\tilde{\chi}_{12} + 2\mu\tilde{\chi}_{12} = 0.$$
 (A.21)

The four decoupled equations (A.18)-(A.21) have no square-integrable solutions.

The two systems (A.16) and (A.17) are equivalent. Let us use the variables $\eta = \chi_{22}, \tilde{\chi}_{22}$ and $\lambda = \tilde{\chi}_{21}^*, \chi_{21}^*$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\partial_2 & -\partial_1 \\ -\partial_1 & \partial_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} + \frac{f'}{2r\mu} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} + \mu(1-\psi^2) \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} \pm 2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\partial_2 & -\partial_1 \\ -\partial_1 & \partial_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} - i\frac{1-f}{r} \begin{pmatrix} x/r & -y/r \\ -y/r & -x/r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} \pm 2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
(A.22)

Changing the γ matrices basis:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_1 - i\partial_2 \\ -\partial_1 + i\partial_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} - \frac{f'}{2r\mu} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} + \mu(1-\psi^2) \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} \pm 2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_1 - i\partial_2 \\ -\partial_1 + i\partial_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1-f}{r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\varphi} \\ -e^{-i\varphi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- \end{pmatrix} \pm 2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
(A.23)

The problem is reduced to the one of finding the kernel of the following operator:

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_1 + i\partial_2 & \pm 2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi} & 0 & 0\\ \pm 2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} & -\partial_1 - i\partial_2 + \frac{1-f}{r}e^{i\varphi} & 0 & 0\\ 2\mu(1-\psi^2) & 0 & -\partial_1 - i\partial_2 & \pm 2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi}\\ 0 & 0 & \pm 2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} - (\partial_1 - i\partial_2) - \frac{1-f}{r}e^{-i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (A.24)

The following ausiliary operators are introduced:

$$\mathcal{D}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{1} + i\partial_{2} & \pm 2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi} \\ \pm 2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} & -\partial_{1} - i\partial_{2} + \frac{1-f}{r}e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{1} - i\partial_{2} & \pm 2\mu\psi e^{i\varphi} \\ \pm 2\mu\psi e^{-i\varphi} & -(\partial_{1} - i\partial_{2}) - \frac{1-f}{r}e^{-i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(A.25)

A computation with the index theorem also tell us that

$$\dim(\operatorname{kernel}\mathcal{D}) - \dim(\operatorname{kernel}\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}) = 0$$

The operators \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2^{\dagger} have a trivial kernel; index theorem then can be used to show that \mathcal{D}_1^{\dagger} and \mathcal{D}_2 have a kernel with real dimension two. We get a total of four zero modes from the χ sector.

References

- A. Hanany and D. Tong, Vortices, instantons and branes, JHEP 07 (2003) 037 [hep-th/0306150] [SPIRES].
- R. Auzzi, S. Bolognesi, J. Evslin, K. Konishi and A. Yung, Nonabelian superconductors: vortices and confinement in N = 2 SQCD, Nucl. Phys. B 673 (2003) 187 [hep-th/0307287]
 [SPIRES].
- M. Shifman and A. Yung, Non-Abelian string junctions as confined monopoles, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 045004 [hep-th/0403149] [SPIRES].
- [4] A. Hanany and D. Tong, Vortex strings and four-dimensional gauge dynamics, JHEP 04 (2004) 066 [hep-th/0403158] [SPIRES].
- [5] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D.L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, N = 6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals, JHEP 10 (2008) 091
 [arXiv:0806.1218] [SPIRES].
- [6] J. Gomis, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, M. Van Raamsdonk and H. Verlinde, A massive study of M2-brane proposals, JHEP 09 (2008) 113 [arXiv:0807.1074] [SPIRES].
- [7] H.J. de Vega and F.A. Schaposnik, Electrically charged vortices in nonabelian gauge theories with Chern-Simons term, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 2564 [SPIRES];
 S.K. Paul and A. Khare, Charged vortices in Abelian Higgs model with Chern-Simons term, Phys. Lett. B 174 (1986) 420 [Erratum ibid. B 177 (1986) 453] [SPIRES].
- [8] J. Hong, Y. Kim and P.Y. Pac, On the multivortex solutions of the Abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 2230 [SPIRES].
- [9] R. Jackiw and E.J. Weinberg, Selfdual Chern-Simons vortices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 2234 [SPIRES];
 R. Jackiw, K.-M. Lee and E.J. Weinberg, Selfdual Chern-Simons solitons, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 3488 [SPIRES].
- [10] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Classical and quantal nonrelativistic Chern-Simons theory, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 3500 [Erratum ibid. D 48 (1993) 3929] [SPIRES].

- [11] K.-M. Lee, Selfdual nonabelian Chern-Simons solitons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 553
 [SPIRES]; Relativistic non-Abelian selfdual Chern-Simons systems, Phys. Lett. B 255 (1991) 381 [SPIRES].
- [12] C.-K. Lee, K.-M. Lee and E.J. Weinberg, Supersymmetry and selfdual Chern-Simons systems, Phys. Lett. B 243 (1990) 105 [SPIRES].
- [13] G.V. Dunne, Aspects of Chern-Simons theory, hep-th/9902115 [SPIRES].
- [14] P.A. Horvathy and P. Zhang, Vortices in (Abelian) Chern-Simons gauge theory, arXiv:0811.2094 [SPIRES].
- [15] L.G. Aldrovandi and F.A. Schaposnik, Non-Abelian vortices in Chern-Simons theories and their induced effective theory, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 045010 [hep-th/0702209] [SPIRES];
 G.S. Lozano, D. Marques, E.F. Moreno and F.A. Schaposnik, Non-Abelian Chern-Simons vortices, Phys. Lett. B 654 (2007) 27 [arXiv:0704.2224] [SPIRES];
 M. Buck, E.F. Moreno and F.A. Schaposnik, Local and semi-local vortices in Yang-Mills-Chern-Simons model, J. Phys. A 42 (2009) 205401 [arXiv:0902.0738] [SPIRES].
- [16] B. Collie and D. Tong, The dynamics of Chern-Simons vortices, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 065013 [arXiv:0805.0602] [SPIRES].
- [17] B. Collie, Dyonic non-Abelian vortices, J. Phys. A 42 (2009) 085404 [arXiv:0809.0394]
 [SPIRES].
- [18] S.B. Gudnason, Non-Abelian Chern-Simons vortices with generic gauge groups, Nucl. Phys. B 821 (2009) 151 [arXiv:0906.0021] [SPIRES].
- Y. Kim and K.-M. Lee, Vortex dynamics in selfdual Chern-Simons Higgs systems, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 2041 [hep-th/9211035] [SPIRES]; First and second order vortex dynamics, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 045016 [hep-th/0204111] [SPIRES].
- [20] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, Modeling multiple M2's, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 045020
 [hep-th/0611108] [SPIRES]; Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 065008 [arXiv:0711.0955] [SPIRES]; Comments on multiple M2-branes, JHEP 02 (2008) 105 [arXiv:0712.3738] [SPIRES].
- [21] A. Gustavsson, Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes, Nucl. Phys. B 811 (2009) 66
 [arXiv:0709.1260] [SPIRES].
- [22] K. Hosomichi et al., A nonperturbative test of M2-brane theory, JHEP 11 (2008) 058 [arXiv:0809.1771] [SPIRES].
- [23] K. Hosomichi, K.-M. Lee and S. Lee, Mass-deformed Bagger-Lambert theory and its BPS objects, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 066015 [arXiv:0804.2519] [SPIRES].
- [24] J. KIm and B.-H. Lee, Abelian vortex in Bagger-Lambert-Gustavsson theory, JHEP 01 (2009) 001 [arXiv:0810.3091] [SPIRES].
- [25] M. Arai, C. Montonen and S. Sasaki, Vortices, Q-balls and domain walls on dielectric M2-branes, JHEP 03 (2009) 119 [arXiv:0812.4437] [SPIRES].
- [26] S. Kawai and S. Sasaki, BPS vortices in non-relativistic M2-brane Chern-Simons-matter theory, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 025007 [arXiv:0903.3223] [SPIRES].
- [27] I. Bena and N.P. Warner, A harmonic family of dielectric flow solutions with maximal supersymmetry, JHEP 12 (2004) 021 [hep-th/0406145] [SPIRES].

- [28] I. Bena, The M-theory dual of a 3 dimensional theory with reduced supersymmetry, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 126006 [hep-th/0004142] [SPIRES].
- [29] H. Lin, O. Lunin and J.M. Maldacena, Bubbling AdS space and 1/2 BPS geometries, JHEP 10 (2004) 025 [hep-th/0409174] [SPIRES].
- [30] K. Hosomichi, K.-M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, N = 5,6 superconformal Chern-Simons theories and M2-branes on orbifolds, JHEP 09 (2008) 002 [arXiv:0806.4977] [SPIRES].
- [31] R.C. Myers, *Dielectric-branes*, *JHEP* **12** (1999) 022 [hep-th/9910053] [SPIRES].
- [32] H. Nastase, C. Papageorgakis and S. Ramgoolam, The fuzzy S² structure of M2-M5 systems in ABJM membrane theories, JHEP 05 (2009) 123 [arXiv:0903.3966] [SPIRES].
- [33] R. Donagi and E. Witten, Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and integrable systems, Nucl. Phys. B 460 (1996) 299 [hep-th/9510101] [SPIRES].
- [34] J. Polchinski and M.J. Strassler, The string dual of a confining four-dimensional gauge theory, hep-th/0003136 [SPIRES].
- [35] R.P. Andrews and N. Dorey, Deconstruction of the Maldacena-Núñez compactification, Nucl. Phys. B 751 (2006) 304 [hep-th/0601098] [SPIRES].
- [36] V. Markov, A. Marshakov and A. Yung, Non-Abelian vortices in N = 1^{*} gauge theory, Nucl. Phys. B 709 (2005) 267 [hep-th/0408235] [SPIRES].
- [37] R. Auzzi and S. Prem Kumar, Non-Abelian k-vortex dynamics in N = 1^{*} theory and its gravity dual, JHEP 12 (2008) 077 [arXiv:0810.3201] [SPIRES].
- [38] C. Kim, Y. Kim, O.-K. Kwon and H. Nakajima, Vortex-type half-BPS solitons in ABJM theory, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 045013 [arXiv:0905.1759] [SPIRES].
- [39] M. Benna, I. Klebanov, T. Klose and M. Smedback, Superconformal Chern-Simons theories and AdS₄/CFT₃ correspondence, JHEP 09 (2008) 072 [arXiv:0806.1519] [SPIRES].
- [40] W. Nahm, Supersymmetries and their representations, Nucl. Phys. B 135 (1978) 149 [SPIRES].
- [41] H. Lin and J.M. Maldacena, Fivebranes from gauge theory, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 084014
 [hep-th/0509235] [SPIRES].
- [42] A. Abrikosov, On the magnetic properties of superconductors of the second group, Sov. Phys. JETP 5 (1957) 1174 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 32 (1957) 1442], reprinted in Solitons and particles, C. Rebbi and G. Soliani eds., World Scientific, Singapore (1984), pg. 356;
 H.B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, Vortex-line models for dual strings, Nucl. Phys. B 61 (1973) 45 [SPIRES] reprinted in Solitons and particles, C. Rebbi and G. Soliani eds., World Scientific, Singapore (1984), pg. 365.
- [43] V. Borokhov, A. Kapustin and X.-K. Wu, Topological disorder operators in three-dimensional conformal field theory, JHEP 11 (2002) 049 [hep-th/0206054] [SPIRES]; Monopole operators and mirror symmetry in three dimensions, JHEP 12 (2002) 044 [hep-th/0207074] [SPIRES].
- [44] M. Van Raamsdonk, Comments on the Bagger-Lambert theory and multiple M2-branes, JHEP 05 (2008) 105 [arXiv:0803.3803] [SPIRES].
- [45] S. Terashima, On M5-branes in N = 6 membrane action, JHEP **08** (2008) 080 [arXiv:0807.0197] [SPIRES].

- [46] E.J. Weinberg, Multivortex solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equations, Phys. Rev. D 19 (1979) 3008 [SPIRES].
- [47] T.T. Wu and C.N. Yang, Dirac monopole without strings: monopole harmonics, Nucl. Phys. B 107 (1976) 365 [SPIRES].
- [48] E. D'Hoker and L. Vinet, Supersymmetry of the Pauli equation in the presence of a magnetic monopole, Phys. Lett. B 137 (1984) 72 [SPIRES];
 F. De Jonghe, A.J. Macfarlane, K. Peeters and J.W. van Holten, New supersymmetry of the monopole, Phys. Lett. B 359 (1995) 114 [hep-th/9507046] [SPIRES].
- [49] S.-T. Hong, J. Lee, T.H. Lee and P. Oh, N = 4 supersymmetric quantum mechanics with magnetic monopole, Phys. Lett. B 628 (2005) 165 [hep-th/0507194] [SPIRES]; A complete solution of a constrained system: SUSY monopole quantum mechanics, JHEP 02 (2006) 036 [hep-th/0511275] [SPIRES]; Isospin particle on S² with arbitrary number of supersymmetries, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 22 (2007) 1481 [hep-th/0606202] [SPIRES].
- [50] A. Agarwal, N. Beisert and T. McLoughlin, Scattering in mass-deformed $N \ge 4$ Chern-Simons models, JHEP 06 (2009) 045 [arXiv:0812.3367] [SPIRES].
- [51] E.A. Bergshoeff and O. Hohm, A topologically massive gauge theory with 32 supercharges, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 125017 [arXiv:0810.0377] [SPIRES].
- [52] H.J. de Vega, Fermions and vortex solutions in Abelian and nonabelian gauge theories, Phys. Rev. D 18 (1978) 2932 [SPIRES].
- [53] E.J. Weinberg, Index calculations for the fermion-vortex system, Phys. Rev. D 24 (1981) 2669 [SPIRES].
- [54] B.-H. Lee, C.-K. Lee and H. Min, Supersymmetric Chern-Simons vortex systems and fermion zero modes, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 4588 [SPIRES].